



Title	日ソ戦後の在南サハリン中華民国人の帰国：境界変動による樺太華僑の不本意な移動
Author(s)	中山, 大将; Nakayama, Taisho
Citation	境界研究, 10, 45-69
Issue Date	2020-03-31
DOI	https://doi.org/10.14943/jbr.10.45
Doc URL	https://hdl.handle.net/2115/78155
Type	departmental bulletin paper
File Information	Summary3.pdf, 英文要旨



integrated models. Today, this theory is applied to various types of geographical boundaries, as well as the relationship between two groups. Activities of the Waikīkī Aquarium can be understood with this theory in the following ways. First, adding an exhibition of plants at the Waikīkī Aquarium emphasizes the connection between the land and the ocean. It helps to strengthen the collaboration among institutions in the Mānoa-Pālolo Watershed, which conduct activities related to issues on the land. As a result, it elaborates on the relationship between these institutions from the coexisting model to the interdependent model. Second, the Waikīkī Aquarium can enhance friendly relationships between tourists and local residents. In the context of the theory of Martínez, it is understood that the relationship between them would shift from the coexisting model to the interdependent model.

In general, the role of today's aquariums is explained as entertainment, education, research, and environmental protection. In addition to these, activities of the Waikīkī Aquarium contribute to the revitalization of the culture of the Mānoa-Pālolo Watershed as a soft power. Historian Andrea Feeser described the development of Waikīkī as a history of suffering brought on by colonialism and capitalism, also as the local people's resistance to them. If so, activities of the Waikīkī Aquarium are peaceful trials that help overcome difficulties caused by the colonization of Waikīkī and the introduction of capitalism to the area, symbolized by the construction of the Ala Wai Canal, which divided the Mānoa-Pālolo Watershed.

Returning Unwillingly after the Soviet–Japanese War: The Chinese in Karafuto/Southern Sakhalin

NAKAYAMA Taisho

Academica Histrica (ROC) published a series of source books on Chinese repatriation from all over the world after WWII. One of the volumes has sources of Academica Histrica and an explanation on the return of Chinese from Southern Sakhalin after the Soviet–Japanese War. However, the explanation lacks references to other official documents of other archives and media sources. In addition, the author of the explanation failed to weigh the information in official documents from the view of Karafuto/Sakhalin history.

This paper clarified the following points on Chinese in Karafuto/ Southern Sakhalin using official documents of *Academica Histrica*, Archive of Institute of Modern History, Academia Sinica (ROC), The Second Historical Archive of China (PRC) and Archive of Shanghai-city (PRC), in addition, newspapers and magazine articles published in China.

Chinese in Southern Sakhalin contacted diplomatic establishments of the ROC in the USSR and returned to China in 1947 under the scheme for overseas Chinese repatriation established by the ROC - the same as other Chinese in the USSR. One hundred and sixty-one persons left Sakhalin for Shanghai, ROC as a Chinese returnee group and at least 4 Chinese remained in Sakhalin. It is estimated that the returnee group consisted of around 120 Chinese and around 40 Japanese families. Anxiety over regime change caused their return. They were not purged under the socialist regime in Sakhalin though they had stood by the Wang Jingwei regime under the Japanese Empire. However, socialization of the economic system and an increase of Soviet citizens damaged their lives because most of them had been engaged in commerce.

The government of Shanghai-city shouldered the most important role for supporting these returnees from Southern Sakhalin. The Shanghai branch of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs, ROC made every effort to accommodate them just after their arrival; however, the branch and the central government did not have a budget to support them. Not only these returnees from Southern Sakhalin but also returnees from other areas of the USSR and domestic refugees generated by the Chinese Civil War had flowed into Shanghai. Discharge of these populations was an urgent issue for Shanghai-city. Shanghai-city planned to settle these returnees and refugees on uncultivated lands in Zhejiang and Jiangxi provinces as relief works. However, it emerged that those lands were not suitable for agriculture and the returnees from Southern Sakhalin had no intention to move to those lands though some of them came from those provinces.

One-third of the returnees from Southern Sakhalin had desired to move from Shanghai to Taiwan just after the return and that rate rose to three-fourths in a month, though there was no one among them from Taiwan. Some of the domestic refugees also desired to move from Shanghai to Taiwan. The Chinese Civil War had already proceeded and these refugees regarded Taiwan as one of the safe areas in China.

The reasons specific to returnees from Southern Sakhalin desiring to move to Taiwan were the linguistic barrier in Shanghai, linguistic advantage in Taiwan and reunion of family in Japan. Shanghai and the surrounding areas had a variety of Chinese dialects and there were few people among the returnees from these areas. As an official document stated, it was very difficult for them to get a job there. On the contrary, many people came from Fujian province and spoke Fujian dialects, which was one of major dialects in Taiwan; moreover, it is natural that they expected that

they could communicate in Japanese there because Taiwan was a colony of the Japanese Empire the same as Karafuto. In addition, an example of reunion of family in Japan via Taiwan has been known. The colonial government of Karafuto launched the evacuation during the Soviet-Japanese War and many women, children and senior citizens moved to Hokkaido. The Soviet army sealed off La Perouse Strait and many families were separated.

The return of Chinese from Southern Sakhalin was a kind of unwilling displacement of citizens of the victorious nations generated by a series of border shifts after WWII, such as the return of the USSR citizens from China to the USSR. For their fatherlands, the victory of the war meant the liberation or the restoration of independence; however, for them, whether victory or defeat, border shifts derived from the war meant the disorganization of the order which they had help build as foreigners.

Border Aesthetic “Rasquachismo” and Its Possibility based on Chicana/o daily Artworks

NIITSU Atsuko

This article covers border immigrant aesthetics “Rasquachismo” by interpreting Chicana/o artworks in Mexican communities in the United States. The sensibility “Rasquachismo” was basically elaborated by Latino art scholar Tomás Ybarra-Frausto (1938–) as “have-not” grassroots Chicana/o aesthetics.

In response to Ybarra-Frausto’s “Rasquachismo,” Chicana artist Amalia Mesa-Bains (1943–) gleaned female daily expressions inside domestic life and Chicana/o communities, and named their attitude as Domesticana to emancipate their expressions from uncritical male-oriented “Rasquachismo.”

After referencing previous studies, this article defines “Rasquachismo” as border immigrant aesthetics and presents the concepts of “duality,” “hybridity” and “slowness” to deepen the understanding of “Rasquachismo.” This argument strengthens the perspective of “others” in Chicana/o culture, which has not been adequately discussed in previous studies, and tries to broaden the applied possibility of this sensibility to different case studies or fields using these three notional angles above.