



HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY

Title	行政への民主的統制と委任 : 科学技術・イノベーションにおける現状と展望
Author(s)	村上, 裕一
Citation	北大法学論集, 71(3), 59-98
Issue Date	2020-09-30
Doc URL	https://hdl.handle.net/2115/79343
Type	journal article
File Information	lawreview_71_3_02_Murakami_summary.pdf, SUMMARY OF CONTENTS



THE HOKKAIDO LAW REVIEW**Vol. 71 No. 3(2020)
SUMMARY OF CONTENTS**

**Democratic Control and Governmental Delegation
Reality and Prospects in Science, Technology, and Innovation Policy**

Yuichi MURAKAMI*

Based on case analyses, this paper first points out why the Japanese government's share of research and development (R&D) expenditures is lower than those of France, Britain, and the US: (1) its science and technology (sci-tech) system was once dismantled (just after World War II), and its legacy remains there, (2) private companies have been allowed to work on R&D relatively autonomously from the government, and (3) political and administrative leadership has been absent in sci-tech decision-making. Second, this paper clarifies why Japan's R&D investment targets have not been achieved since the second version of the Sci-Tech Basic Plan in 2001: (1) uncertainty unique to sci-tech policy, (2) continuous intervention from the Ministry of Finance in the overall budget coordination, and (3) the recent transition from a politician-centred process, which once strongly promoted sci-tech policy, to a cabinet-centred process. Third, after a comparison with innovation policy and its implementation in the European Union and Sweden, which have similar ambitions as Japan but different policy systems, this paper concludes that (1) ex-ante budgetary control on sci-tech and innovation policy should be curbed and should delegate more responsibility to the department in charge and to ex-post impact (or output, at least) assessment,

* Associate Professor, Hokkaido University School of Law, and Visiting Researcher, Sciences Po Bordeaux.

(2) budgetary coordination and implementation processes should be separated to avoid excessive democratic control on the latter, and (3) top-down sci-tech policy and bottom-up innovation policy should be recognized as distinct because their recommended policy tools and favourable ways of control differ.