Title	The role of cobalt oxide or magnesium oxide in ozonation of ammonia nitrogen in water
Author(s)	Krisbiantoro, Philip Anggo; Togawa, Tomokazu; Mahardiani, Lina et al.
Citation	Applied Catalysis A: General, 596, 117515 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcata.2020.117515
Issue Date	2020-04-25
Doc URL	https://hdl.handle.net/2115/85022
Rights	© 2020. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license
Rights(URL)	https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/
Type	journal article
File Information	Manuscript_Appl Catal A Gen_596.pdf

1   *Revised Manuscript, APCATA-D-19-02066*

2

3   **The role of cobalt oxide or magnesium oxide in ozonation of ammonia nitrogen in water**

4

5

6   Philip Anggo Krisbiantoro[a], Tomokazu Togawa[a], Lina Mahardiani[b], Haruka Aihara[a], Ryoichi

7   Otomo[c], Yuichi Kamiya[c,*]

8

9   [a] *Graduate School of Environmental Science, Hokkaido University, Nishi 5, Kita 10, Kita-ku*

10   *Sapporo 060-0810, Japan*

11   [b] *Department of Chemistry Education, Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Sebelas*

12   *Maret University, Jl. Ir. Sutami 36A Surakarta, Central Java 57126, Indonesia*

13   [c] *Faculty of Environmental Earth Science, Hokkaido University, Nishi 5, Kita 10, Kita-ku*

14   *Sapporo 060-0810, Japan*

15

16   *Corresponding author: Prof. Yuichi Kamiya

17   Email: kamiya@ees.hokudai.ac.jp

18   TEL: +81 11 706 2217

19

20 **Abstract**

21 In this study, the reaction mechanisms for ozonation of ammonia nitrogen in the presence of

22 Co_3O_4 or MgO were investigated. For the reaction over Co_3O_4, Cl^- in the reaction solution was

23 indispensable and ClO^- was formed by a non-catalytic oxidation of Cl^-. Co_3O_4 promoted the

24 reaction of NH_4^+ with ClO^- to give the products including NO_3^-, chloramines and gaseous

25 products. In contrast, Cl^- was unnecessary for the reaction with MgO. pH of the reaction

26 solution was maintained at around 9 throughout the reaction owing to partial dissolution of

27 MgO. Ammonia nitrogen was decomposed to mainly NO_3^- by non-catalytic radical reaction

28 involving $OH\cdot$, which was formed by the reaction of OH^- with O_3 in weakly basic solution. To

29 keep the reaction solution weakly basic, H^+ formed with the decomposition of NH_4^+ was

30 neutralized. As a result, about the same amount of Mg^{2+} as that of decomposed ammonia

31 nitrogen was dissolved.

32

33 Keywords: ozonation; ammonia nitrogen; cobalt oxide; magnesium oxide; wastewater

34 treatment

35

1. Introduction

While ammonia (NH_3) and ammonium ion (NH_4^+), which are collectively called ammonia nitrogen, are indispensable nutrient for plants, excess ammonia nitrogen in water often causes eutrophication of rivers, lakes, and inland seas. In addition, ammonia nitrogen is toxic to fish species even if the concentration in water is less than 10 mg L^{-1} [1]. High concentration ammonia nitrogen in natural environment comes from inadequate treatments of industrial wastewater, household sewage, and livestock manure and runoff water from farmland with excess fertilization. Nitrogen-containing organic matters, such as urea, amino acids, polypeptides and proteins can be a source for ammonia nitrogen in wastewater with microbial action [2]. For environmental protection, ammonia nitrogen in environmental water should be below 0.5 mg L^{-1} [3,4]. To achieve this criteria, highly efficient and feasible processes for the purification of water containing ammonia nitrogen are absolutely necessary.

So far, many treatment processes have been studied and some of them including adsorption [5], ion exchange [6], activated sludge [7], stripping [8] and breakpoint chlorination [2] are commercially used for the treatment of wastewater containing ammonia nitrogen. However, each process has some limitations and disadvantages from technical and economic standpoints. For example, because ion exchange is the processes only removing ammonia nitrogen, waste brine containing high concentration ammonia nitrogen is generated, which should be further treated. Activated sludge process consumes a lot of energy and oxygen, and burdensome treatment of produced generated sludge is necessary [7]. Ammonia stripping technique requires a large stripping tower, strict control of $CaCO_3$ dose, and is ineffective in winter due to the low volatility of NH_3 under low temperature environment [8]. In addition, it potentially causes air pollution if the stripped NH_3 is not appropriately treated.

59   Catalytic wet air oxidation (CWAO) is a process to oxidatively decompose

60   contaminants in water by reaction with air (O_2) in the presence of a catalyst. CWAO is

61   considered to be applicable for purification of wastewater containing ammonia nitrogen. So far,

62   several precious metals supported on carriers like metal oxides have been investigated for the

63   reaction [9-14]. Taguchi and Okuhara reported that Pt/TiO_2 [9] and Pd/ZrO_2 [10] effectively

64   and selectively promoted the oxidation of ammonia nitrogen into N_2 at relatively low

65   temperature (433 K) and low pressure (0.5 MPa) in mild acidic solution (pH 5.5). These

66   previous studies showed high potential of CWAO for the purification of wastewater containing

67   ammonia nitrogen. However, harsh reaction conditions are still indispensable for achieving

68   high decomposition rate to make up for low oxidizing ability of O_2 even by using highly active

69   catalysts [14].

70   Catalytic ozonation is the reaction for oxidative decomposition of contaminants with

71   O_3. Because O_3 is a strong oxidant comparing with O_2, the reaction often proceeds even under

72   mild reaction conditions, e.g., low temperature and ambient pressure [15-17]. While non-

73   catalytic ozonation of ammonia nitrogen in water [18] and catalytic one with a homogeneous

74   catalyst [19] were reported in 1975 and 1984, respectively, the first paper on the latter over

75   heterogeneous catalysts was reported in 2014 [20]. After that, Chen et al. reported Mg-Co

76   mixed metal oxide catalyst with different Mg to Co ratios for the reaction [21] and found that

77    $MgO-Co_3O_4$ with Mg/Co = 4/1 calcined at 773 K was the best catalyst in terms of

78   decomposition rate of ammonia nitrogen and selectivity to gaseous nitrogen compounds.

79   In our previous paper [20], eight metal oxides (MO_x, M = Co, Ni, Fe, Sn, Mn, Cu, Mg

80   and Al) were tested as a catalyst for the ozonation of ammonia nitrogen in mild-acidic solution

81   under atmospheric pressure at 333 K in the presence of Cl^-. It was found that the highest

82   decomposition rate of ammonia nitrogen was obtained in the presence of MgO, but undesirable

4

83   NO_3^- was predominantly formed. In addition, a part of MgO was dissolved during the reaction

84   and pH of the reaction solution was increased up to around 9. The increase in pH of the reaction

85   solution for the same reaction in the presence of MgO was also reported by Liu et al [22]. In

86   stark contrast, Co_3O_4 gave the highest selectivity to gaseous nitrogen products with more than

87   85% selectivity and was insoluble in the reaction solution, while the catalytic activity was the

88   third best among them. Furthermore, we found that the reaction proceeded only in the presence

89   of Cl^-, demonstrating that Cl^- was indispensable for the reaction over Co_3O_4. However, it is

90   not known how Cl^- is involved in the reaction over Co_3O_4 and why the selectivity is so different

91   for the reactions between in the presence of MgO and that of Co_3O_4.

92   In the present study, we conducted an in-depth investigation to provide a clear

93   overview on the reaction mechanism for the ozonation of ammonia nitrogen in water in the

94   presence of Co_3O_4 or MgO. For the former, we focused on the behavior of Cl^- in the reaction

95   solution during the reaction and tried to identify gaseous nitrogen products. The results clearly

96   demonstrated that Co_3O_4 effectively promoted the formation of chloramines ($NH_{3-x}Cl_x$, $x = 1$,

97   2, and 3) as gaseous nitrogen products. Meanwhile, we found that the reaction proceeded with

98   a non-catalytic radical reaction in the presence of MgO and that MgO was just a reactant for

99   the reaction.

100

101   **2. Experimental**

102   *2.1. Materials*

103   Analytical grade reagents of $Mg(OH)_2$, $Ca(OH)_2$, $Co(NO_3)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$, KOH, HNO_3,

104      $(NH_4)_2SO_4$, NH_4Cl, NaClO, NaCl and aqueous ammonia were purchased from Wako Pure

105   Chem. Ind. Ltd. and used without further purification.

106

107   *2.2. Preparation of Co₃O₄ and MgO*

108   Cobalt oxide (Co_3O_4) was prepared by a conventional precipitation method [20]. An

109   aqueous ammonia (1.0 mol L^{-1}) was added to a solution of $Co(NO_3)_2$ (1.0 mol L^{-1}) until pH of

110   the solution was stably at 8.1. The suspension was stirred for 10 min at room temperature. The

111   formed blue-green precipitate was separated by filtration, washed with distilled water, and dried

112   at 373 K for 24 h. The solid was ground with a mortar and then was calcined in air at 773 K for

113   4 h.

114   Magnesium oxide (MgO) was prepared by calcining $Mg(OH)_2$ at 773 K for 5 h [20].

115   Calcium oxide (CaO) was also prepared with the same manner to that of MgO except for using

116     $Ca(OH)_2$.

117

118   *2.3. Characterization*

119   Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were recorded on an X-ray diffractometer

120   (Rigaku, Miniflex) with Cu Kα radiation (λ= 0.154 nm, 30 kV, 15 mA) at room temperature.

121   Specific surface area was estimated by applying the Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) equation

122   to an adsorption isotherm of N_2 at 77 K, which was acquired on a Belsorp-mini instrument

123   (BEL Japan Inc.). The sample was pretreated in N_2 flow at 473 K for 3 h before the

124   measurement.

125

126   *2.4. Ozonation of ammonia nitrogen in water*

127   Ozonation of ammonia nitrogen in water was performed by using a semi-batch reactor

128   connected to a gas flow line and traps (Fig. 1). Two reaction solutions containing 10 mM NH_4^+,

129   which was present as NH_3 when the solution was alkaline, were prepared from NH_4Cl and

130     $(NH_4)_2SO_4$ and are denoted as **Sol**(Cl) and **Sol**(SO_4), respectively. Typically, 0.1 g of Co_3O_4

131 or MgO was added to the reactor containing 100 mL of the reaction solution and the suspension

132 was vigorously stirred in a stream of O_2 (100 cm^3 min^{-1}). After temperature of the suspension

133 reached to predetermined one (298 or 333 K), the gas was changed to a mixture of O_3/O_2 (1.88

134 mmol L^{-1} as O_3, total flow rate = 100 cm^3 min^{-1}) to start the ozonation of ammonia nitrogen.

135 O_3 was generated from O_2 using an ozone generator (Tokyu Car Co., SO-03UN-OX).

136 　　　A small portion of the reaction solution was periodically withdrawn and analyzed to

137 determine the concentrations of NO_3^-, NO_2^- and NH_4^+ by using two ion chromatographs

138 (Tosoh Co. Ltd., IC-2001). A column containing an anion-exchange resin (TSK gel Super IC-

139 AZ, Tosoh) and an aqueous solution of $NaHCO_3$ (2.9 mmol L^{-1}) and Na_2CO_3 (3.1 mmol L^{-1})

140 were used as stationary and mobile phases, respectively, for anion analysis. For cation analysis,

141 a column containing a cation-exchange resin (TSK gel IC-Cation 1/2 HR, Tosoh) and an

142 aqueous solution of methanesulfonic acid (2.2 mmol L^{-1}) and 18-crown-6 (1.0 mmol L^{-1}) were

143 used as stationary and mobile phases, respectively. Since the eluting solution used for the cation

144 analysis was highly acidic, all ammonia nitrogen in the solution was detected as NH_4^+ even if

145 NH_3 was present in the solution. The yield of and selectivity to NO_3^- were calculated by Eqs.

146 1 and 2, respectively.

147

148 　　　　$$\text{Yield of } NO_3^- = \frac{\text{Formed } NO_3^-}{\text{Initial } NH_4^+} \tag{1}$$

149 　　　　$$\text{Selectivity to } NO_3^- = \frac{\text{Formed } NO_3^-}{\text{Consumed } NH_4^+} \tag{2}$$

150

151 Because basically gaseous nitrogen products were not analyzed in this study, the selectivity to

152 them was calculated by subtracting the selectivity to NO_3^- from 100%.

153   While pH of both **Sol**(SO_4) and **Sol**(Cl) was around 5.5, it was adjusted to 7 and 9 for

154 some reactions by the addition of aqueous solution of KOH. For the reaction in the presence of

155 MgO, the concentration of Mg^{2+} in the reaction solution was determined by the ion

156 chromatograph in a similar manner to that of NH_4^+.

157   ClO_x^- in solutions were determined by using another ion chromatograph (Thermo

158 Scientific, Dionex ICS-900) equipped with a column of Dionex IonPac AS16 RFIC. An

159 aqueous solution of NaOH (35 mmol L^{-1}) was used as a mobile phase.

160   Total chlorine in the reaction solution was quantified by using an inductively coupled

161 plasma mass spectrometer (ICP-MS, Agilent Technology, 8800 ICP-QQQ). Gas

162 chromatography mass spectroscopy (GC-MS) analysis was performed by using GCMS-

163 QP2010 SE (Shimadzu) equipped with a capillary column (SH-Rxi-5 Sil MS, 30 m $\times$ 0.25 mm

164 $\times$ 0.25 μm, Shimadzu). Mass spectrum was obtained with electron impact mode, and the

165 interface and ion source were heated at 333 and 423 K, respectively.

166

167 *2.5. Reaction of NH_4^+ with ClO^- without O_3*

168   Reaction of NH_4^+ with ClO^- without O_3 was carried out in a similar manner to that for

169 the ozonation of ammonia nitrogen in water, while O_2 was fed into the reactor instead of O_3/O_2

170 mixture. The reaction solution was prepared by adding NaClO to **Sol**(Cl) and the concentrations

171 of NH_4^+ and ClO^- in the solution were 10 and 20 mmol L^{-1}, respectively. The pH of the reaction

172 solution was adjusted to 5.5 with dilute nitric acid.

173

174 **3. Results and discussion**

175 *3.1. Physical properties of Co_3O_4 and MgO*

176   Fig. 2 shows the XRD patterns of as-prepared Co_3O_4 and MgO. The typical diffraction

177   lines due to spinel type Co_3O_4 were observed at 2θ = 19.08, 31.32, 36.90, 38,68, 44.82, 55.82,

178   59.34, 65.26 and 77.42°, which were ascribed to (111), (220), (311), (222), (400), (422), (511),

179   (440) and (533), respectively. MgO gave the diffraction lines at 2θ = 36.91, 42.88, 62.27, 74.65

180   and 78.58°, which were assignable to (111), (200), (220), (311) and (222), respectively, for

181   cubic MgO. The sizes of the crystallites estimated by applying a Scherrer's equation to the

182   diffraction lines at 36.90 and 42.88° of Co_3O_4 and MgO were 26 and 36 nm, respectively. The

183   specific surface areas of Co_3O_4 and MgO were 12 and 252 $m^2\ g^{-1}$, respectively.

184

185   *3.2 Involvement of Cl^- for ozonation of ammonia nitrogen*

186   Fig. 3 shows the conversion and selectivity at 6 h for the ozonation of ammonia nitrogen

187   in **Sol**(Cl) and **Sol**(SO_4) at 333 K in the presence of Co_3O_4 and MgO. In the absence of neither

188   solid materials, only a small amount of NH_4^+ was decomposed in **Sol**(Cl) and no reaction

189   occurred in **Sol**(SO_4). In the presence of Co_3O_4, NH_4^+ was decomposed only in **Sol**(Cl),

190   forming gaseous nitrogen products with ca. 83% selectivity, while no reaction occurred in

191   **Sol**(SO_4), suggesting involvement of Cl^- in the reaction. To further confirm the participation of

192   Cl^-, we examined influence of the concentration of Cl^- on the conversion of NH_4^+ and

193   selectivities by the addition of NaCl into **Sol**(SO_4) (Fig. 4). With an increase in the

194   concentration of Cl^-, the conversion of NH_4^+ increased. The selectivity to gaseous products

195   with 10 mmol L^{-1} Cl^- was almost the same as that for the reaction in **Sol**(Cl). Therefore, it was

196   concluded that Cl^- played a critical role for the reaction over Co_3O_4.

197   In contrast to the reactions over Co_3O_4, there was little difference in the reaction results

198   with **Sol**(Cl) and **Sol**(SO_4) in the presence of MgO. Almost all NH_4^+ was decomposed at 6 h in

199   the presence of MgO and NO_3^- was a main product regardless of the reaction solutions. These

200 results indicate that Cl^- in the solution was not involved in the reaction with MgO. This

201 significant difference in the reaction behavior between Co_3O_4 and MgO strongly suggest a

202 difference in reaction mechanism in the presence of each solid.

203

204 *3.3. Reaction mechanism over Co_3O_4*

205 *3.3.1. Behavior of Cl^- during the reaction*

206   As mentioned in 3.2, Cl^- was indispensable for the reaction over Co_3O_4. To investigate

207 how Cl^- was involved in the reaction, time course in the concentration of Cl^- was examined in

208 **Sol**(Cl) in the presence of Co_3O_4 (Fig. S1). It should be noted that the concentration of Cl^- was

209 decreased with reaction time and more than 85% of Cl^- in the reaction solution was lost at 6 h.

210   One possibility for the loss of Cl^- was the formation of Cl_2 by the oxidation of Cl^- with

211 O_3 (Eqs. 3 and 4) and then it went out from the reactor with the flow of O_3/O_2 mixture.

212

213      $2Cl^- + O_3 + 2H^+ \rightarrow Cl_2 + O_2 + H_2O$ (3)

214      $2Cl^- + O_3 + H_2O \rightarrow Cl_2 + O_2 + 2OH^-$ (4)

215

216 In fact, no formation of Cl_2 occurred as was confirmed by the following experiment. The

217 reaction was performed in **Sol**(Cl) in the presence of Co_3O_4 and the outlet gas from the reactor

218 was absorbed by pure water in the three traps connected in series. If Cl_2 was formed, Cl^- should

219 be detected in the water along with ClO^- because Cl_2 is easily reacted with water to form Cl^-

220 and ClO^- (Eq. 5).

221

222      $Cl_2 + H_2O \rightarrow Cl^- + ClO^- + 2H^+$ (5)

223

224   Neither Cl⁻ nor ClO⁻ was detected in water of the traps. To further investigatr the possibility of

225   the formation of Cl_2 by the oxidation of Cl⁻ with O_3, we carried out the reaction in an aqueous

226   solution of NaCl with a similar manner to that in **Sol**(Cl). However, no formation of Cl⁻ nor

227   ClO⁻ was confirmed. Thus, the formation of Cl_2 was improbable.

228   Another possibility for the loss of Cl⁻ was the formation of ClO_x^- (x = 1–4) by the

229   reaction with O_3. To check the formation of ClO_x^- during the reaction in **Sol**(Cl) in the presence

230   of Co_3O_4, we applied analytical conditions for ion-chromatography analysis being able to

231   detect them in the solution (see the experimental section). Unfortunately, ClO⁻ and ClO_3^-

232   cannot be analyzed individually even with the analytical conditions if they exist together in the

233   solution because unavoidable reduction of ClO_3^- to ClO⁻ occurs in the column. Thus, we only

234   knew the total amount of ClO⁻ and ClO_3^-. The ion-chromatography analysis showed no

235   formation of ClO_2^- and ClO_4^- in the reaction solution at any reaction time. On the other hand,

236   ClO⁻, some of which could come from ClO_3^-, was found in the solution, but the amount of

237   ClO⁻ at 6 h corresponded to only 5% or less of that of the lost Cl⁻. Thus, the formation of ClO⁻

238   or ClO_3^- was not the main cause for the loss of Cl⁻ during the reaction in **Sol**(Cl).

239

240   *3.3.2. Formation of chloramines*

241   To investigate the involvement of NH_4^+ in the loss of Cl⁻, we evaluated the

242   consumption rate of Cl⁻ in an aqueous solution of NaCl in the presence of Co_3O_4. It was found

243   that the consumption rate of Cl⁻ in the aqueous solution of NaCl was about only one-tenths of

244   that in **Sol**(Cl), which were 0.087 and 0.92 mmol h⁻¹, respectively. These results strongly

245   suggest that the reaction of Cl⁻ with NH_4^+ gave some chlorinated nitrogen compounds that were

246   undetected by ion chromatography, namely non-ionic compounds.

247   To confirm the formation of such compounds, the reaction solution of **Sol**(Cl) in the

248   presence of Co_3O_4 was analyzed by an inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry (ICP-

249   MS). The analysis with ICP-MS gives total concentration of chlorine in the solution. At 3 h of

250   the reaction, the total concentration of chlorine in the solution was 9.5 mmol L^{-1}, while the

251   concentration of Cl^- in the solution analyzed by ion chromatography was 7.0 mmol L^{-1} (Fig.

252   S1). The fact that the total concentration of chlorine was higher than that of Cl^- indicated the

253   presence of non-ionic chlorinated nitrogen compounds in the reaction solution.

254   It is known that monochloramine (NH_2Cl) is formed by the reaction of NH_4^+ with

255   hypochlorite (ClO^-) in weakly acidic aqueous solution even without any catalyst [23].

256   Monochloramine is further transformed into dichloramine ($NHCl_2$) and trichloramine (NCl_3)

257   by the reaction with hypochloric acid (HClO) if pH of the solution is around 4 or less [24].

258   Initial pH of **Sol**(Cl) was 5.5 and pH was decreased to 3 at 6 h in the presence of Co_3O_4 due to

259   the oxidation of NH_4^+ into neutral products as well as anionic NO_3^-. Thus, the formation of

260   chloramines was possible for the reaction in **Sol**(Cl).

261   Chloramines easily undergo decomposition in strongly basic solution, giving Cl^- [25].

262   Thus, we took out an aliquot of the reaction solution and pH of the solution was increased to

263   11 by the addition of an aqueous KOH solution. If chloramines were present in the solution, the

264   concentration of Cl^- was increased after the pH increase. Furthermore, the outlet gas of the

265   reactor was absorbed into a strongly basic KOH solution (pH 11), in which fine powder of MgO

266   was further dispersed, in traps during the reaction in **Sol**(Cl) in the presence of Co_3O_4. Because

267   chloramines especially trichloramine are less soluble in water, chloramines formed in the

268   reaction solution could go out from the reactor with a flow of O_3/O_2 mixture. To avoid slipping

269   chloramines from the trap solution, three traps containing the strongly basic KOH solution with

270   MgO fine powder were connected in series at the outlet of the reactor (Fig. 1) and we confirmed

271 that there was nothing detected in the third trap. The reaction solution and trap solutions were

272 analyzed by ion chromatography to determine the concentration of Cl^-.

273 Fig. 5 displays time-course changes in the concentrations of Cl^- in the reaction solution

274 as it was and in the reaction solution after the pH increase, and the concentration of Cl^- in the

275 trap solution. For easy understanding, amounts of Cl^- found in the three trap solutions are

276 combined and is displayed as if those exist in the reaction solution. It should be noted that the

277 concentration of Cl^- in the reaction solution was increased after the pH increase. Especially at

278 3 h, the increment was significant. This behavior strongly suggested the formation of

279 chloramines. The amount of chlorine being incorporated in chloramines, which was estimated

280 from the difference in the concentrations of Cl^- before and after the pH increase, corresponded

281 to 2.5 mmol L^{-1} at 3 h. At 6 h, at which almost all NH_4^+ disappeared in the reaction solution

282 (Fig. S1), the increase in the concentration of Cl^- by the pH increase was only little, suggesting

283 that the formed chloramines went out from the reactor. In fact, the concentration of Cl^- in the

284 trap solution was drastically increased at 6 h. However, chlorine balance defined as Eq. 6 at 6

285 h was about 85%, suggesting that some chlorine-containing compounds that were stable even

286 in the strong alkaline solution were formed and/or were slipped out of the traps.

287

288 $$\text{Chlorine balance (\%)} = \frac{[Cl^-]_{\text{reaction solution after pH increase}} + [Cl^-]_{\text{trap}}}{[Cl^-]_0} \times 100 \qquad (6)$$

289

290 Unfortunately, we do not have any evidence to explain it and now it is still under investigation.

291 Next, we tried to detect chloramines directly by using GC-MS. The reaction solution at 3

292 h was analyzed, but chloramines were not detected probably because the concentrations were

293 below the detection limits. Thus, we applied a head-space GC-MS method to demonstrate the

294 formation of chloramines during the reaction. A head-space GC-MS method is suitable for

295 analyzing minute amounts of volatile compounds present in solution, because such compounds

296 are enriched in a gas phase of a vial container with the solution, namely head space, when the

297 solution is allowed to stand in the sealed container [26]. Unfortunately, no standard solution of

298 chloramines is available for analysis, because chloramines are unstable and are easy to strip

299 into air. Thus, we performed only a qualitative analysis here.

300 According to Fig. 5, it seemed that the concentration of chloramines in the reaction

301 solution was the highest at 3 h for the reaction in **Sol**(Cl) in the presence of Co_3O_4. Thus, we

302 analyzed that reaction solution with the head-space GC-MS method. However, no peak due to

303 chloramines was found on the gas chromatogram, probably because their concentrations in the

304 solution were below detection limits for the head-space GC-MS analysis.

305 Thus, we collected chloramines formed during the reaction in **Sol**(Cl) in the presence

306 of Co_3O_4 by making the outlet gas of the reactor absorbed into pure water in a trap for 6 h and

307 analyzed the trap solution. Fig. 6a gives the chromatogram of the trap solution obtained by the

308 head-space GC-MS method. The large peak at 1.1 min on the chromatogram was due to air.

309 The chromatogram had a large peak at 2.4 min, while very small ones were observed at 1.4 and

310 1.6 min. Mass spectrum for the peak at 2.4 min showed the peaks at $m/z = 119$ and 121 (Fig.

311 6b), which was assignable to the molecular ions of trichloramine with $N^{35}Cl^{35}Cl^{35}Cl$ and

312 $N^{35}Cl^{35}Cl^{37}Cl$, respectively. Since the abundance ratios of $N^{35}Cl^{37}Cl^{37}Cl$ and $N^{37}Cl^{37}Cl^{37}Cl$

313 among trichloramine were small, being 0.07 and 0.02, respectively, the peaks at $m/z = 123$ and

314 125 assignable to their molecular ions were not observed. In addition to the molecular ion peaks,

315 various fragment ion peaks were observed at 84, 86 and 88 (NCl_2^+) and 49 and 51 (NCl^+) with

316 reasonable intensity ratios. Therefore, it was concluded that the formation of trichloramine was

317 successfully confirmed by using the head-space GC-MS method. The small peaks at 1.4 and

14

318   1.6 min on the chromatogram seemed to be monochloramine and dichloramine, but the

319   identifications were impossible due to low intensities.

320

321   *3.3.3. Re-evaluation of the selectivity for the reaction over Co$_3$O$_4$*

322   In our previous paper, only NO$_3^-$ was analyzed as a product and the rest of the products

323   was regarded as gaseous nitrogen products, which were presumed to N$_2$ and N$_2$O [20].

324   However, as was demonstrated in 3.3.2, the formation of chloramines was confirmed, though

325   it is still unknown which chloramine and how much amount of them were formed. Thus, we re-

326   evaluated the selectivity for the reaction in **Sol**(Cl) in the presence of Co$_3$O$_4$ by taking the

327   formation of chloramines into account. At 6 h, conversion of NH$_4^+$ was 97%. At the time,

328   selectivity to NO$_3^-$ was 17%. The combined concentration of Cl$^-$ derived from the formed

329   chloramines in the reaction solution (1.9 mmol L^{-1}) and trap solutions (5.8 mmol L^{-1}) was 7.7

330   mmol L^{-1} at 6 h. If all the chloramines were trichloramine (NCl$_3$), the selectivity to it was 26%.

331   Thus, the selectivity to other gaseous nitrogen products, which might be N$_2$, N$_2$O, NO, and

332   NO$_2$, was 57%. On the other hand, if all the chloramines were monochloramine (NH$_2$Cl), the

333   selectivity to it was 79% and that to other gaseous nitrogen products was 4%. According to the

334   head space GC-MS analysis, it was presumed that trichloramine was predominant among three

335   chloramines. Thus, the selectivity to chloramines is thought to be close value to the former

336   estimation. However, to obtain accurate selectivity, the gaseous nitrogen products should be

337   qualitatively analyzed, and it is still in progress.

338

339   *3.3.4. Reaction pathway for the reaction over Co$_3$O$_4$*

340   As mentioned before, it is reported that chloramines are formed by the reaction of

341   NH$_4^+$ with hypochlorite ion (or hypochlorous acid) in acid solution [23]. Under the reaction

342 conditions in **Sol**(Cl) in this study, hypochlorite ion was formed. Thus, it was plausible that

343 monochloramine was formed by the reaction of NH_4^+ with ClO^-, and dichloramine and

344 trichloramine were successively formed by the reaction of mono- and dichloramine,

345 respectively, with ClO^- (or HClO). As Fig. 3 showed, the decomposition rate of NH_4^+ in the

346 presence of Co_3O_4 was higher than that in its absence. There are two possibilities for the

347 promotion by Co_3O_4: Co_3O_4 accelerated (i) the formation of ClO^- and (ii) the reaction of NH_4^+

348 with ClO^-. However, the former was improbable, because the formation of ClO^- by the reaction

349 of Cl^- with O_3 was rather inhibited by the presence of Co_3O_4 for the reaction in an aqueous

350 solution of NaCl (Fig. S2).

351   To confirm the possibility of the latter, we carried out the reactions of NH_4^+ with ClO^-

352 under O_2 flow without O_3 in the presence and absence of Co_3O_4 (Fig. 7). As Fig. 7 clearly

353 demonstrates, the conversion of NH_4^+ in the presence of Co_3O_4 was much higher than that in

354 its absence. Furthermore, we confirmed the formation of chloramines found in the reaction

355 solution and trap solutions by similar manners to those performed for the reaction shown in Fig.

356 5. Therefore, it was concluded that Co_3O_4 accelerated the reaction of NH_4^+ with ClO^-.

357   Based on the results, we propose the reaction pathway for the reaction over Co_3O_4 as

358 Fig. 8. In the first step, Cl^- is non-catalytically oxidized with O_3 to form ClO^-. Co_3O_4 rather

359 inhibits this reaction. Thereafter, NH_4^+ is reacted with ClO^- on Co_3O_4 to form NO_3^-,

360 chloramines, and other gaseous nitrogen compounds.

361

362 *3.4 Reaction mechanism for ozonation of NH_4^+ in the presence of MgO*

363 *3.4.1. Role of MgO for the ozonation of ammonia nitrogen*

364   Fig. 9 shows time-course changes in the concentrations of ammonia nitrogen as well

365 as Cl^- and SO_4^{2-} for the reactions in **Sol**(Cl) and **Sol**(SO$_4$) in the presence of MgO. In contrast

366 to Co_3O_4, the ozonation of ammonia nitrogen proceeded even in **Sol**(SO_4) in the presence of

367 MgO, and the presence of Cl^- did not affect the reaction rate and selectivity. In fact, Cl^- was

368 not lost over the reaction time in **Sol**(Cl), suggesting that the reaction did not follow the reaction

369 mechanism as it occurred over Co_3O_4.

370 A stark difference between Co_3O_4 and MgO for the reaction was their dissolution

371 behavior. In contrast to insoluble Co_3O_4, about 40% of MgO was dissolved in **Sol**(SO_4) for 6

372 h, though MgO is known to be poorly soluble in water. Interestingly, pH of the reaction solution

373 rose from 5.5 to around 9 just by the addition of MgO even before the flowing of O_3 and the

374 pH was maintained throughout the reaction. This pH increase could be caused by the forward

375 reaction of Eq. 7.

376

377 $$MgO(s) + H_2O \rightleftarrows Mg^{2+} + 2OH^- \tag{7}$$

378

379 Ideally, a small amount of MgO less than 0.1% of the amount added in the reaction solution is

380 sufficient to cause the pH increase. Liu et al. also proposed a similar mechanism for the pH

381 increase of the solution by the addition of MgO [22]. Since the pH was quickly increased just

382 by the addition of MgO and was maintained near constant throughout the reaction, the reaction

383 of Eq. 7 must reach equilibrium quickly under the reaction conditions with MgO.

384 To get an insight into the role of MgO, firstly, a reaction in the absence of MgO at pH

385 9 was carried out. Because K_b of NH_3 (= $[NH_4^+][OH^-]/[NH_3]$) is $10^{-4.8}$, ammonia nitrogen

386 existed predominantly as NH_3 in the reaction solution. As shown in Fig. S3, 16% of ammonia

387 nitrogen was decomposed at 1 h and concomitantly NO_3^- that was equivalent to the consumed

388 ammonia nitrogen was formed. After that, however, the reaction was completely stopped. At

389   the time, the solution turned to acidic because of charge compensation for the formation of

390   anionic product (NO_3^-) from neutral reactants (NH_3 and O_3) (Eq. 8).

391

392            $NH_3 + 4O_3 \rightarrow NO_3^- + H_2O + H^+ + 4O_2$ (8)

393

394   We further carried out a similar reaction, but pH of the reaction solution was repeatedly restored

395   to 9 at each sampling time (Fig. S4). For that reaction, the decomposition of ammonia nitrogen

396   continually proceeded. Under the reaction conditions, stripping of NH_3 from the reaction

397   solution was only little. These results clearly demonstrated that in mildly alkaline solution,

398   ammonia nitrogen can be oxidized into mainly NO_3^- even without any catalysts.

399   As mentioned above, to increase pH to around 9, only less than 0.1% of MgO added

400   to the reaction solution was sufficient, but the fact was that much amount of MgO was dissolved

401   with the reaction, implying the presence of another mechanism for the dissolution of MgO. To

402   get a clue for it, we carried out the ozonation of ammonia nitrogen with different initial

403   concentrations of ammonia nitrogen in the presence of MgO and investigated the relationship

404   between the amount of decomposed ammonia nitrogen and that of dissolved Mg^{2+} at each

405   reaction time with different initial concentrations of ammonia nitrogen. The results are shown

406   in Fig. 10. Notably, the amount of Mg^{2+} dissolved was linearly increase with increase in the

407   amount of ammonia nitrogen decomposed. It should be noted that the slope of the line in Fig.

408   10 was nearly unity. Because ammonia nitrogen exists originally as NH_4^+ in the as-prepared

409   reaction solution before the addition of MgO, the stoichiometric equation for the oxidation of

410   ammonia nitrogen to NO_3^- is as follows.

411

412 $NH_4^+ + 4O_3 \rightarrow NO_3^- + H_2O + 2H^+ + 4O_2$ (9)

413

414   Since the pH of the solution was maintained at around 9 throughout the reaction in the presence

415   of MgO, H^+ formed by the reaction of Eq. 9 was neutralized with OH^- that was formed by the

416   forward reaction of Eq. 7, inducing further dissolution of MgO. Consequently, a single

417   decomposition reaction of ammonia nitrogen yielded the dissolution of one Mg^{2+} as represented

418   by Eq. 10.

419

420            $$NH_4^+ + 4O_3 + MgO \rightarrow NO_3^- + 4O_2 + Mg^{2+} + 2H_2O \qquad (10)$$

421

422   In short, MgO was a reactant for the ozonation of ammonia nitrogen in water.

423   In addition to MgO, CaO, which is another alkaline-earth metal oxide, acted in the

424   same way as MgO did for the reaction. The reaction solution turned into strong basic (pH around

425   11) immediately by the addition of CaO to it. The ozonation of ammonia nitrogen proceeded

426   smoothly in the presence of CaO and the decomposition rate of ammonia nitrogen was about

427   1.5-times faster than that in the presence of MgO. This supports our conclusion above

428   mentioned in the case of MgO.

429

430   *3.4.2. Possibility of involvement in homogeneous catalysis by Mg^{2+}*

431   Because Mg^{2+} was present in the reaction solution for the reaction with MgO, it could

432   be that Mg^{2+} acted as a homogeneous catalyst. Thus, we performed the ozonation of ammonia

433   nitrogen in **Sol**(SO_4) with 10 mmol L^{-1} of Mg^{2+}, which was prepared by adding

434      $Mg(NO_3)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$ to the reaction solution. The initial pH of the reaction solution was adjusted

435   to 9 by the addition of KOH. The result showed that the conversion of ammonia nitrogen was

436   only 12% at 1 h and after that the reaction stopped, which was the same as that for the blank

437   test in the absence of MgO (Fig. S3). Therefore, it was concluded that there was no contribution

438   of homogeneous catalysis by Mg^{2+} to the ozonation of ammonia nitrogen.

439

440   *3.4.3. Reaction pathway for the reaction in the presence of MgO*

441   The effect of radical scavenger was investigated for the reaction in **Sol**(SO_4) in the

442   presence of both MgO and *tert*-butyl alcohol (TBA, 100 mmol L^{-1}) and the result was compared

443   to that in the absence of TBA (Fig. 11). Since TBA acts as a radical scavenger, the addition of

444   TBA decreases the concentration of radicals in the solution [27]. Thus, if the reaction follows

445   radical mechanism, the decomposition rate of ammonia nitrogen is decreased along with

446   decrease in the leaching amount of MgO. As Fig. 11 clearly shows, the decomposition of

447   ammonia nitrogen was inhibited by the presence of TBA and the leaching amount of MgO was

448   also decreased, indicating the involvement of a radical mechanism. Liu et al. also investigated

449   the effect of TBA for ozonation of ammonia nitrogen in the presence of MgO and reached a

450   totally opposite conclusion that TBA did not interfere the reaction [22]. In the reaction in Fig.

451   11, tenfold amount of TBA to ammonia nitrogen was used, while only one-tenth of it was added

452   to the reaction solution in the study by Liu et al. [22]. Such difference in the amount of TBA

453   added may bring about the discrepancy of the reaction mechanism.

454   Based on the results mentioned so far and the fact that OH· radical is formed by the

455   reaction of OH^- with O_3 at pH more than 9 [28], we propose the reaction pathway for the

456   reaction in the presence of MgO as Fig. 12. The reaction of solid MgO with H_2O giving Mg^{2+}

457   and OH^- is in equilibrium and thus pH of the solution is maintained at mildly alkaline pH.

458   Ammonia nitrogen is decomposed to mainly NO_3^- by the reaction with OH·. Proton formed

459   with the decomposition of ammonia nitrogen is neutralized, leading to further dissolution of

460   MgO. Thus, the same amount of Mg^{2+} as that of decomposed ammonia nitrogen is dissolved in

461   the reaction solution.

462

463   **4. Conclusion**

464   In the present study, we investigated the reaction mechanisms for ozonation of

465   ammonia nitrogen in the presence of Co_3O_4 or MgO. For the reaction over Co_3O_4, Cl^- in the

466   solution was indispensable and chloramines ($NH_{3-x}Cl_x$), being predominantly trichloramine

467   (NCl_3), were formed as a gaseous nitrogen product. The selectivities to NO_3^-, chloramines and

468   other gaseous nitrogen products (N_2, N_2O, and NO_x) were estimated to 17, 26, and 57%, if only

469   trichloramine was formed in chloramines. Hypochlorite ion (ClO^-) that was formed by a non-

470   catalytic reaction of Cl^- with O_3 acted as an oxidizer for NH_4^+ and Co_3O_4 accelerated the

471   reaction of NH_4^+ with ClO^- to give the products.

472   In contrast to the reaction with Co_3O_4, Cl^- was not involved in the reaction with MgO.

473   During the reaction in the presence of MgO, pH of the reaction solution was maintained at

474   around 9 owing to the reaction of MgO with H_2O to give OH^- ($MgO(s) + H_2O \rightleftarrows Mg^{2+} + 2OH^-$

475   ). Ammonia nitrogen is decomposed to form mainly NO_3^- by a non-catalytic radical reaction

476   with $OH\cdot$, which was formed by the reaction of OH^- with O_3 in mildly alkaline solution. Protons

477   formed with the decomposition of ammonia nitrogen ($NH_4^+ + 4O_3 \rightarrow NO_3^- + H_2O + 2H^+ +$

478     $4O_2$) were neutralized with OH^- to keep the pH of the reaction solution at around 9. As a result,

479   much the same amount of Mg^{2+} as that of decomposed ammonia nitrogen was dissolved in the

480   reaction solution. In short, MgO was a reactant for the ozonation of ammonia nitrogen in water.

481

482   **Acknowledgements**

21

486

Appendix A. Supplementary data

488 Supplementary material related to this article can be found, in the online version, at

489 doi:https://doi.org./10.1016/j.apcata.?????????.

490

References

492 [1] D.J. Randall, T.K.N. Tsui, Mar. Pollut. Bull. 45 (2002) 17–23.

493 [2] T.A. Pressley, D.F. Bishop, S.G. Roan, Environ. Sci. Technol. 6 (1972) 622–628.

494 [3] H.B. Perry, R. Zulliger, M.M. Rogers, Annu. Rev. Public Heal. 35 (2014) 399–421.

495 [4] M. Owens, G. Wood, Water Res. 2 (1968) 151–159.

496 [5] Y. Tu, P. Feng, Y. Ren, Z. Cao, R. Wang, Z. Xu, Fuel 238 (2019) 34–43.

497 [6] A. Thornton, P. Pearce, S.A. Parsons, J. Hazard. Mater. 147 (2007) 883–889.

498 [7] Y. Wei, M. Ji, R. Li, F. Qin, Waste Manag. 32 (2012) 448–455.

499 [8] P.H. Liao, A. Chen, K.V. Lo, Bioresour. Technol. 54 (1995) 17–20.

500 [9] J. Taguchi, T. Okuhara, Appl. Catal. A 194-195 (2000) 89–97.

501 [10] J. Taguchi, Y. Yoshinaga, T. Okuhara, Chem. Lett. 30 (2001) 112–113.

502 [11] J. Barbier, L. Oliviero, B. Renard, D. Duprez, Catal. Today 75 (2002) 29–34.

503 [12] D.K. Lee, S.C. Jeong, L.Y. Wang, Chemosphere 61 (2005) 573–578.

504 [13] C. Lousteau, M. Besson, C. Descorme, Catal. Today 241 (2015) 80–85.

505 [14] S.K. Bhargava, J. Tardio, J. Prasad, K. Föger, D.B. Akolekar, S.C. Grocott, Ind. Eng. Chem.

506 Res. 45 (2006) 1221–1258.

507   [15] S.T. Oyama, Catal. Rev. Sci. Eng. 42 (2000) 279–322.

508   [16] B. Kasprzyk-Hordern, M. Ziółek, J. Nawrocki, Appl. Catal. B Environ. 46 (2003) 639–

509   669.

510   [17] J. Nawrocki, B. Kasprzyk-Hordern, Appl. Catal. B 99 (2010) 27–42.

511   [18] P.C. Singer, W.B. Zilli, Water Res. 9 (1975) 127–134.

512   [19] W.R. Haag, J. Hoigné, H. Bader, Water Res. 18 (1984) 1125–1128.

513   [20] S. Ichikawa, L. Mahardiani, Y. Kamiya, Catal. Today 232 (2014) 192–197.

514   [21] Y. Chen, Y. Wu, C. Liu, L. Guo, J. Nie, Y. Chen, T. Qiu, J. Environ. Sci. 66 (2018) 265–

515   273.

516   [22] H. Liu, L. Chen, L. Ji, J. Hazard. Mater. 376 (2019) 125–132.

517   [23] P.J. Vikesland, K. Ozekin, R.L. Valentine, Water Res. 35 (2001) 1766–1776.

518   [24] R.M. Chapin, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 51 (1929) 2112–2117.

519   [25] V.C. Hand, D.W. Margerum, Inorg. Chem. 22 (1983) 1449–1456.

520   [26] K. Kosaka, K. Seki, N. Kimura, Y. Kobayashi, M. Asami, Water Sci. Technol.: Water

521   Supply 23 (2010) 23–29.

522   [27] A. Ikhlaq, D.R. Brown, B. Kasprzyk-Hordern, Appl. Catal. B Environ. 165 (2015) 408–

523   418.

524   [28] J. Hoigne, H. Bader, Environ. Sci. Technol. 12 (1978) 79–84.

525

526

527

528

529

530

531

532

533

534

535

536

537

Fig. 1.   Illustration of the semi-batch reactor used for ozonation of ammonia nitrogen in water.

Pure water or an aqueous KOH solution (pH 11) with fine powder of MgO was put in three

traps.

541

542

543

544

545

546

547

548

549

550

551

552

553

554

555

556

557

558

559

Fig. 2.   XRD patterns of Co_3O_4 and MgO.

560

561

562

563

564

565

566

567

568

569

570

571

572

573

574 **Fig. 3.** Conversions and selectivity for ozonation of ammonia nitrogen in **Sol**(Cl) and

575 **Sol**(SO$_4$) in the presence of Co$_3$O$_4$ or MgO. **Sol**(Cl) and **Sol**(SO$_4$) represent the reaction

576 solutions prepared from NH$_4$Cl and (NH$_4$)$_2$SO$_4$, respectively. Reaction conditions: Co$_3$O$_4$ or

577 MgO, 0.1 g; 10 mmol L^{-1} NH$_4^+$ solution, 100 mL; total flow rate of O$_3$/O$_2$ mixture, 100 mL

578 min^{-1}; concentration of O$_3$ in the mixture, 0.7 vol%; reaction temperature, 333 K; reaction time,

579 6 h.

580

581

582

583

584

585

586

587

588

589

590

591

592

593

594

Conversion of NH$_4^+$ Selectivity to   NO$_3^-$ gaseous N compound

595   **Fig. 4.**   Influence of Cl$^-$ concentration in **Sol**(SO$_4$) on the ozonation of ammonia nitrogen in

596   the presence of Co$_3$O$_4$.   The reaction solution was prepared by adding NaCl to **Sol**(SO$_4$).

597   Reaction conditions: Co$_3$O$_4$, 0.1 g; 10 mmol L^{-1} NH$_4^+$, 100 mL; O$_3$/O$_2$ flow rate, 100 mL min$^-$

598   ^{1}; concentration of O$_3$, 0.7 vol%; reaction temperature 333 K; reaction time, 6 h.

599

Fig. 5. Time-course changes in the concentrations of Cl⁻ in the reaction solution (●) as it is and (○) after increasing the pH to 11 and (□) in the trap solution for ozonation of ammonia nitrogen in **Sol**(Cl) in the presence of Co_3O_4. Reaction conditions: Co_3O_4, 0.1 g; 10 mmol L^{-1} NH_4^+ and Cl⁻, 100 mL; O_3/O_2 flow rate, 100 mL min^{-1}; concentration of O_3, 0.7 vol%; reaction temperature, 333 K. An aqueous KOH solution was added to the reaction solution taken at each time to increase pH of the solution to 11. An aqueous KOH solution with fine powder of MgO was used as a trap solution to decompose formed chloramines to give Cl⁻.

622

623

624

625

626

627

628

629

630

631

632

633

634

635

Fig. 6. The results of a head-space GC-MS analysis for the solution obtained by passing the outlet gas of the reactor through pure water in the traps for 6 h in ozonation of ammonia nitrogen in **Sol**(Cl) over Co_3O_4. The trap was cooled at 273 K. (a) total ion chromatogram and (b) mass spectra for the peak at 2.4 min. Reaction conditions were the same as those in Fig. 5, but pure water was used as a trap solution instead of an aqueous KOH solution with fine powder of MgO.

642

643

644

645

646

647

648

649

650

651

652

653

654 **Fig. 7.** Time-course changes in the conversion of NH_4^+ for the reaction of NH_4^+ with ClO^-

655 under O_2 flow without O_3 in the (●) presence and (○) absence of Co_3O_4. Reaction conditions:

656 Co_3O_4, 0.1 g ; concentrations of NH_4^+ and ClO^-, 10 and 20 mmol L^{-1}, respectively; volume of

657 reaction solution, 100 mL; O_2 flow rate, 100 cm^3 min^{-1}; reaction temperature, 333 K.

658

659

660

661

662

663

664

665

666

667

668

669

670

Fig. 8. Proposed reaction pathway for ozonation of ammonia nitrogen in the presence of Cl^- over Co_3O_4.

673

674

675

676

677

Fig. 9. Time-course changes in the concentrations of ammonia nitrogen and anions for ozonation of ammonia nitrogen in **Sol**(Cl) and **Sol**(SO$_4$) in the presence of MgO. (●) and (▲) are the concentrations of ammonia nitrogen and Cl$^-$, respectively, for the reactions in **Sol**(Cl), and (□) and (◇) are those of ammonia nitrogen and SO$_4^{2-}$, respectively, for the reactions in **Sol**(SO$_4$). Reaction conditions are the same as those for the reaction in Fig. 3.

695

696

697

698

699

700

701

702

703

704

705

706

707

708

709

710

711 **Fig. 10.** Relationship between the amount of Mg^{2+} dissolved and that of decomposed

712 ammonia nitrogen for ozonation of ammonia nitrogen in the presence of MgO with different

713 initial concentrations of ammonia nitrogen and reaction time. Reaction conditions: MgO, 0.1

714 g; reaction solution, 100 mL; O_3/O_2 flow rate, 100 mL min^{-1}; reaction temperature ,333 K.

715 Initial concentration of ammonia nitrogen: ($\bullet$) 1 mmol L^{-1}, ($\triangle$) 5 mmol L^{-1}, ($\blacksquare$) 10 mmol L^{-1},

716 ($\diamond$) 20 mmol L^{-1}. The data collected at different reaction times are plotted on the graph.

717

Fig. 11. Influence of tert-butyl alcohol (TBA) in the reaction solution on the decomposition rate of ammonia nitrogen and dissolution degree of MgO for ozonation of ammonia nitrogen in the presence of MgO. (●) in the absence of TBA and (□) in the presence of TBA. Reaction conditions: MgO, 0.1 g; concentrations of NH_4^+ and TBA, 10 and 100 mmol L^{-1}, respectively; reaction solution, 100 mL; O_3/O_2 flow rate, 100 cm^3 min^{-1}; reaction temperature, 298 K.

740

741

742

743

744

745

746

747

748

749

750

751

752

753   **Fig. 12.**   Proposed reaction pathway for ozonation of ammonia nitrogen in the presence of

754   MgO.

755