



HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY

Title	Stock Status of Whitespotted Bambooshark, <i>Chiloscyllium plagiosum</i> (Anonymous [Bennett], 1830) in Sabah, Malaysia, Using Yield-Per-Recruit and Spawning Per-Recruit Analyses
Author(s)	PATTARAPONGPAN, SUPAPONG; ARNUPAPBOON, SUKCHAI; ARSHAD, ABDUL HARIS HILMI BIN AHMAD et al.
Citation	Asian Fisheries Science, 35(2), 117-128 https://doi.org/10.33997/j.afs.2022.35.2.003
Issue Date	2022
Doc URL	https://hdl.handle.net/2115/85170
Rights(URL)	https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/
Type	journal article
File Information	manuscript_20220511.pdf



Stock status of whitespotted bambooshark *Chiloscyllium plagiosum* (Anonymous [Bennett], 1830) in Sabah, Malaysia, using yield-per-recruit and spawning-per-recruit analyses

Supapong Pattarapongpan^a, Sukchai Arnupapboon^b, Abdul Harris Hilmi Bin Ahmad Arshad^c, Matsuishi Takashi Fritz^{*d}

^a Graduate School of Fisheries Science, Hokkaido University, Japan. kansupapong@gmail.com

^b Southeast Asian Fisheries Development Center, Training Department, Thailand. sukchai@seafdec.org

^c Southeast Asian Fisheries Development Center, Marine Fisheries Resources Development and Management Department Malaysia. haris_arshad@seafdec.org.my

^d GCF, Faculty of Fisheries Sciences, Hokkaido University, Japan. catm@fish.hokudai.ac.jp

(*corresponding author)

Abstract

Whitespotted bambooshark, *Chiloscyllium plagiosum* (Anonymous [Bennett], 1830), is a major shark species caught in waters off Sabah, Malaysia. Despite the massive landing amount, its biological and stock status information is limited. In 2015-2016, the elasmobranch data collection was conducted in Southeast Asia, including Sabah. A yield-per-recruit (YPR) and spawning-per-recruit (SPR) analyses were performed to assess this data-deficient species' stock status. The growth parameter, average maximum length, L_{∞} , and growth rate, K , for males and females were 81.13 cm and 0.21 year⁻¹, and 84.30 cm and 0.18 year⁻¹, respectively. Limit and target biological reference points, maximum fishing mortality and fishing mortality corresponding to 10 % of YPR slope ($F_{\max}$ and $F_{0.1}$, respectively) for YPR, and fishing mortality corresponding to 20 % and 30 % of spawning stock remained ($F_{20\%}$ and $F_{30\%}$, respectively) for SPR, were calculated. The results suggest neither growth nor recruit overfishing was occurring. Monitoring and surveillance of existing management measures are necessary to ensure sustainable utilisation of the stock.

Keywords: Southeast Asia, yield-per-recruit , spawning-per-recruit, stock assessment, whitespotted bamboo shark

Introduction

The whitespotted bambooshark, *Chiloscyllium plagiosum* (Anonymous [Bennett], 1830), is widely distributed throughout tropical and subtropical Indo-Pacific coastal waters to 50 m depth (Chen et al., 2007; Kyne et al., 2021). This coral reef-dwelling species attains a maximum length of 95 cm of total length (TL) and is one of the dominant shark species after brownbanded bambooshark (*Chiloscyllium Punctatum* Müller & Henle, 1838) in the southeast Asian waters, including Taiwan as bycatch species (Arai and Azri, 2019; Wanchana et al., 2020; Ebert et al., 2021; Kyne et al., 2021). The Southeast Asian Fisheries Development Center (SEAFDEC) project regarding data collection on sharks, rays, and skates reported landings of whitespotted bambooshark in Sabah, Malaysia, to represent about 23 % (1,017.15 kg) of total elasmobranch landings from this area. The data collection period was 12 months in total for each landing site (Wanchana et al., 2020), similar to the report of Arai and Azri (2019), in which the catch composition of whitespotted bambooshark was approximately 25% of total shark landed in Sabah.

Shark catch has declined worldwide (Lam and Sadovy De Mitcheson, 2011), which is often thought to be related to the shark life cycle that cannot compensate for fishing pressure rather than effectiveness in implementing any fishing regulation (Davidson et al., 2015). For example, sharks' lower fecundity and late maturation may be vulnerable to fishing pressure. Accordingly, stock assessments for sharks are required. Yield-per-recruit (YPR) analysis has been implemented since the 1950s for various marine and freshwater fish and invertebrate species (Sun et al., 2005; Peixer et al., 2007; Preecha, 2012; Zischke and Griffiths, 2015). In the 1990s, per-recruit analysis was improved to cover spawning stock and thereafter became known as 'spawning-stock-per-recruit' or SPR (Prager et al., 1987; Goodyear, 1993; Mace and Sissenwine, 1993) analysis. These two analyses are often combined to assess yield- and spawning-stock biomasses (Sun et al., 2002).

Even though the YPR and SPR were originally designed from the biological information of teleost fish these models are also widely applied to the elasmobranch (Griffith, 1997; Chang and Liu, 2009; Jutan et al., 2018). Therefore, when data of elasmobranch in Southeast Asian waters, such as catch, effort, and some age-based biological parameters, are limited, YPR and spawning-per-recruit (SPR) can be used to assess and provide the reference points related to yield and biomass. Since whitespotted bambooshark is also one of the elasmobranchs in Southeast Asia that lack stock status information. Thus, the objective of this study was to determine the stock status of this data-deficient shark species using YPR and SPR analyses.

Materials and Methods

Length-weight and length-frequency data (LFD) were collected from August 2015 to July 2016 in Southeast Asian countries by the SEAFDEC sharks, rays and skates data collection project, in cooperation with the Convention of International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora, and the Japanese Trust Fund. For whitespotted bambooshark, the catch data were derived from the landing site in Kota-Kinabalu, Sabah (Figure 1).




Fig. 1. Map of Southeast Asia, with Malaysia in blue. The Kota Kinabalu landing site where the data of whitespotted bambooshark *Chiloscyllium plagiosum* is shown in a white circle.

Data on whitespotted bambooshark fishing grounds were collected from Malaysian fisheries management zones B, C, C2, and C3 (Figure 2), but 50 % of data were from zone C and 40 % from C2. A total of 1,017 kg. of this species was landed during the survey period (Wanchana et al., 2020).




Fig. 2. Malaysian fisheries management zonation scheme (Ramli, 2015). The fishing ground is divided into five zones based on the distance from the shoreline and gross tonnage of the vessel. The vessel from the inner zone can operate in the outer zone, but the vessel from the outer zone cannot operate in the inner zone.

Two to four trawl vessels ported at the Sabah landing site were randomly selected every 12 days/month to collect the data. Thus, there were 24 to 48 trawl vessels selected randomly every month. The species composition of elasmobranch, and the related catch statistics were collected simultaneously (Wanchana et al., 2020).

Definitions for parameters used in this study are provided in Table 1.

Table 1. Equation parameter symbols and their definitions.

Symbol	Definition
Φ'	Growth performance index
α	Condition factor for length-weight relationship
a	The intercept of the linear regression for the observed probability of capture
a'	The intercept of the linear regression for the estimated probability of capture
β	Regression factor for length-weight relationship
b'	Regression factor for the estimated probability of capture
c	The intercept of the linear regression for total mortality estimation

$C_{L1, L2}$	Number of fish caught at length interval between L_1 and L_2
E	Exploitation ratio
F_{curr}	Fishing mortality of the current situation (year^{-1})
$F_{0.1}$	Fishing mortality produced from the 10 % of the tangent of the YPR curve (year^{-1})
F_{max}	Fishing mortality produced from the peak of the YPR curve (year^{-1})
$F_{30\%}$ and $F_{20\%}$	Fishing mortality that spares 30 % and 20% of spawning stock (year^{-1})
k	growth coefficient of von Bertalanffy's growth function (vBGF) (year^{-1})
M	Natural mortality (year^{-1})
mL	Mid-length between length interval (cm)
L_1 and L_2	Lower and upper length interval of the length class (cm), respectively
L_{25} , L_{50} , and L_{75}	The length at 25 %, 50%, and 75% of size selectivity (cm), respectively
L_m , and t_m	Length at first maturity (cm) and age at first maturity (year), respectively
L_r , and t_r	Length at recruitment (cm) and age at recruitment (year), respectively
L_t , and t_L	Length (cm) at age t (year) and age at length L (year), respectively
L_{∞}	vBGF parameter (asymptotic length) (cm)
S_t , and S'_t	Observed and estimated size selectivity, respectively
TL	Total length (cm)
$\Delta t_{L1, L2}$	The difference in age between lengths L_1 and L_2 (years)
t_{λ}	Age endpoint (years)
t_{max}	Maximum age (years)
t_{mL}	Age at mid-length from length interval (years)
t_0	vBGF parameter (theoretical age at length 0) (years)
t_c	Age at first capture (years)
W	Individual weight (kg)
W_{∞}	Average maximum weight (kg)
Z	Total mortality (year^{-1})

86

87 *Length-weight relationship*

88 The length-weight relationship provides information about a species' biological growth pattern.

89 Parameters in equation 1 (Ricker, 1975) are estimated by linear regression after logarithmic
90 conversion, following:

$$91 \quad W = \alpha TL^\beta \quad (1)$$

92 *Growth parameter estimation*

93 The von Bertalanffy's growth curve is expressed as:

$$94 \quad L_t = L_\infty (1 - e^{-k(t-t_0)}) \quad (2)$$

95 Parameters L_∞ , k , and t_0 are estimated by electronic length-frequency analysis, ELEFAN,
96 provided in the package TropFishR (Taylor and Mildenerger, 2017). In this package, there are
97 four ELEFAN functions: k -Scan, response surface analysis (RSA), ELEFAN combined with
98 simulated annealing (ELEFAN_SA), and ELEFAN combined with genetic algorithm
99 (ELEFAN_GA). For ELEFAN_SA, a run time was set at 5 minutes. For ELEFAN_GA, the
100 calculation times of this function were set at 1000 times. The initial value for L_∞ was set based on
101 Powell and Weatherall's method (Powell, 1979; Wetherall, 1986).

102 The inverse von Bertalanffy's growth function (Mackay and Moreau, 1990) was used for age
103 estimation as follows:

$$104 \quad t = t_0 - \left(\frac{1}{k}\right) \ln \left(1 - \frac{L_t}{L_\infty}\right) \quad (3)$$

105 Using growth parameters from von Bertalanffy's growth equation, the growth performance index,
106 Φ' , was calculated (Pauly and Munro, 1984) to compare growth curves between the same species
107 or species with similar shapes using the following equation:

$$108 \quad \Phi' = \log k + 2 \log L_\infty \quad (4)$$

109 Results from each function were scored by the goodness of fit (R_n) based on Gayanillo et al.
110 (2005) and Taylor and Mildenerger (2017), as follows:

$$111 \quad R_n = \frac{10^{\text{ESP/ASP}}}{10} \quad (5)$$

This equation compares the number of peaks that pass by the growth curve in length-frequency data. Each peak in LFD is assumed to correspond to a different age group; the total number of peaks with the potential to be passed by the growth curve is denoted by the ASP (available sum of the peak). The number of peaks actually ‘passed’ by the growth curve denotes the ESP (explained sum of peaks). The result indicates the quality of the growth parameter estimation (Brey and Pauly, 1986).

Estimation of mortality, gear selectivity, and maturation size by age

The length-converted catch curve, LCCV (Pauly, 1983, 1984), was applied to estimate mortality and gear selectivity. Total mortality (Z) was estimated from a linear regression between catch for each age class and the estimated age from mid-length of each size class using the following equation:

$$\ln \frac{C_{L_1, L_2}}{\Delta t_{L_1, L_2}} = c - Z * t_{mL} \quad (6)$$

where t_{mL} is estimated from the length between lengths L_1 and L_2 using equation 3.

The duration of age between the lower and upper limits of the length interval L_1, L_2 , $\Delta t_{L_1, L_2}$, was calculated using equation 7:

$$\Delta t_{L_1, L_2} = \frac{1}{k} \ln \left(\frac{L_{\infty} - L_1}{L_{\infty} - L_2} \right) \quad (7)$$

Natural mortality is estimated using Tanaka’s equation (Tanaka, 1960),

$$M = \frac{2.5}{t_{\max}} \quad (8)$$

We used the median value of four results when their values were ranked from highest to lowest from the following four methods to calculate $t_{\max}$.

1) $L_{\max}$ was estimated from a parameter of von Bertalanffy’s growth function (vBGF), L_{∞} . $t_{\max}$ was estimated using equation 3 and estimated $L_{\max}$ (Froese and Binohlan, 2000)

$$L_{\max} = \exp\left(\frac{\log L_{\infty} - 0.044}{0.9841}\right) \quad (9)$$

2) Taylor's method (Taylor, 1958)

$$t_{\max} = t_0 + \frac{2.996}{k} \quad (10)$$

3) Pauly's method (Pauly, 1983)

$$t_{\max} = \frac{3}{k} \quad (11)$$

4) $t_{\max}$ was estimated from equation (3) with the observed maximum body length.

Current fishing mortality F_{curr} was estimated as $F_{\text{curr}} = Z - M$. The exploitation ratio E was estimated from the ratio of F_{curr}/Z . The observed selection curve (known as selection ogive), S_t , was estimated as follows:

$$S_t = \frac{C_{L1,L2}}{\Delta t_{L1,L2} e^{a-Zt_{mL}}} \quad (12)$$

and the estimated selection ogive S'_t was calculated. The regression parameter a' and b' were estimated from the regression between t_{mL} and $\ln\left(\frac{1}{S_t} - 1\right)$ as follows:

$$S'_t = \frac{1}{1 + e^{a' + b't_{mL}}} \quad (13)$$

Size selectivity for YPR and SPR analyses was assumed to be knife-edged at L_{50} and was converted to t_c using equation 3.

Age at first maturity (L_m) was assumed to be 4.5 years for both male and female bambooshark following Chen et al. (2007).

Per-recruit analysis

YPR was calculated as follows:

$$\frac{Y}{R} = FW_{\infty} e^{-M(t_c - t_r)} \times \sum_{n=0}^3 \frac{A_n e^{-nk(t_c - t_0)}}{F + M + nk} \{1 - e^{-(F + M + nk)(t_{\lambda} - t_c)}\} \quad (14)$$

$$\begin{cases} n &= 0, & 1, & 2, & 3 \\ A_n &= 1, & -3, & 3, & -1 \end{cases}$$

The t_λ for YPR and SPR was assumed to be 20 years to include the possible range of the maximum age of the species. W_∞ was calculated using L_∞ from equation 1.

SPR analysis was conducted using the following equations:

For $t_c \leq t_m$,

$$SPR = W_\infty e^{-M(t_c - t_r) - (F+M)(t_m - t_c)} \sum_{n=0}^3 A_n e^{-nk(t_m - t_0)} \left\{ \frac{1 - e^{-(F+M+nk)((t_\lambda - t_m)}}}{F+M+nk} \right\},$$

For $t_c > t_m$,

$$\begin{aligned} SPR &= W_\infty e^{-M(t_m - t_r)} \sum_{n=0}^3 A_n e^{-nk(t_m - t_0)} \left\{ \frac{1 - e^{-(M+nk)(t_c - t_m)}}{M+nk} \right\} \\ &+ W_\infty e^{-M(t_c - t_r)} \sum_{n=0}^3 A_n e^{-nk(t_c - t_0)} \left\{ \frac{1 - e^{-(F+M+nk)(t_\lambda - t_m)}}{F+M+nk} \right\} \dots\dots\dots (15) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{cases} n &= 0, & 1, & 2, & 3 \\ A_n &= 1, & -3, & 3, & -1 \end{cases}$$

The % SPR was estimated as follows:

$$\% SPR = 100 \% \times \frac{SPR}{SPR_{F=0}} \quad (16)$$

Biological reference points (BRPs)

For fishery management, two BRPs have been proposed: the limit reference point, which usually refers to the biological limit of fishing mortality; and the target reference point, which is set as the precautionary point of the limits (Caddy and McGarvey, 1996; Prager et al., 2003). For YPR, values for $F_{0.1}$, the fishing mortality with a 10 % tangent of the YPR curve from the origin, is used as a target BRP, and F_{max} , the fishing mortality that maximises the YPR at a given t_c , as a limit BRP (Beverton and Holt, 1957; Goodyear, 1993; Grabowski and Chen, 2004; Zhou et al., 2020).

For SPR, it is the spawning unit available for each recruitment which the BRPs were set as $F_X \% SPR$, the fishing mortality produces X % of the expected spawning-stock biomass per recruit in the absence of fishing (Goodyear, 1993; Mace and Sissenwine, 1993; Katsukawa, 2005). The critical

level is usually set between 20 % and 30 % because Zhou et al. (2020) noted that the $F\%_{SPR}$ for elasmobranchs should be higher than that for teleosts. Values for $F_{30\%}$ and $F_{20\%}$ were used as a target and limit BRPs, respectively (Zhou et al., 2020).

Results

A total of 356 male and 366 female whitespotted bamboosharks were caught by trawlers operated from fishing zone B to zone C2 and measured. Average $\pm$ standard deviation, maximum, and minimum lengths were 69.61 ± 7.09 cm, 87 cm, and 25 cm TL for males, and 67.33 ± 9.02 cm, 96 cm, and 42 cm TL for females, respectively. Cumulative length-frequency data are shown in Figure 3.




Fig. 3. Length-frequency data for male and female whitespotted bamboosharks *Chiloscyllium plagiosum* in Sabah, Malaysia.

The length-weight relationship using equation (1) for males $W = 1.82 \times 10^{-6} L^{3.11}$, $R^2 = 0.82$, and females was $W = 2.97 \times 10^{-7} L^{3.55}$, $R^2 = 0.89$. Growth parameter estimation results and the R_n score is presented in Table 2.

Table 2. Growth parameter estimates for male and female whitespotted bamboosharks, *Chiloscyllium plagiosum*, caught in Sabah, Malaysia.

	<i>k</i> -Scan	RSA	ELEFAN_SA	ELEFAN_GA
Male				

L_{∞} (cm TL)	77.68	77.90	81.13	77.82
k (year ⁻¹)	1.12	1.11	0.21	0.29
t_0 (year)	-0.12	-0.12	-0.63	-0.47
Φ'	3.83	3.83	3.15	3.24
R_n	0.28	0.28	0.36*	0.33
Female				
L_{∞} (cm TL)	80.25	81.60	84.30	80.46
k (year ⁻¹)	0.40	0.51	0.18	0.22
t_0 (year)	-0.33	-0.26	-0.70	-0.60
Φ'	3.41	3.53	3.11	3.16
R_n	0.27	0.31	0.37*	0.35

* maximum R_n

**ELEFAN: electronic length-frequency analysis, GA: genetic algorithm, RSA: response surface analysis, SA: simulated annealing.

ELEFAN_SA provided the maximum R_n and was selected as the best fitting curve growth parameter. The selected growth curve equation using equation (2) was $L_t = 81.13(1 - e^{-0.21(t-(-0.63))})$ for males, and $L_t = 84.30(1 - e^{-0.18(t-(-0.70))})$ for females. The fitting score is based on the ratio between the total available peak of the curve and the total peaks passed by the growth curve. The fitting of the growth curve to length-frequency data for male and female bamboosharks is shown in Figure 4.




Fig. 4. Length-frequency data fitted with the selected growth curve of male and female whitespotted bambooshark, *Chiloscyllium plagiosum*, from electronic length-frequency analysis (ELEFAN_SA) using package TropFishR provided in R program.

Total mortality is estimated from the negative slope of the catch (Figure 5). The X-axis is the estimated age at mid-length, and Y-axis is the natural logarithm scale of cumulative catch at each length class.




Fig. 5. Length-converted catch curve for male and female whitespotted bambooshark, *Chiloscyllium plagiosum*, from Sabah, Malaysia.

From figure 5, the catch curve of males and females provides different ages corresponding to the fully captured by fishing gear. The female bambooshark was entering fully captured at a younger age compared to the male bambooshark.

Maximum ages ($t_{\max}$) for males and females estimated from four methods and summarised using the median of $t_{\max}$ from each model, and natural mortality based on the finalised $t_{\max}$ calculated using equation (8), are presented in Table 3.

Table 3. Summary of maximum age ($t_{\max}$) and natural mortality estimation of male and female whitespotted bambooshark, *Chiloscyllium plagiosum*.

	$t_{\max}$ (years)					M (year ⁻¹)
	VBGF	Taylor (1958)	Pauly (1983)	LCCV	Median	
Male	15.85	13.64	14.27	30.02	15.07	0.17
Female	18.63	15.94	16.67	22.48	17.65	0.14

*VBGF: von Bertalanffy, LCCV: length-converted catch curve.

Exploitation ratios (E), the ratio between current fishing mortality, F_{curr} , and total mortality, Z , for male and female bamboosharks, were 0.59 and 0.41, respectively (Table 4).

Table 4. Summary of total, natural, and current fishing mortality estimation, respectively, and exploitation ratios (E) of male and female whitespotted bambooshark, *Chiloscyllium plagiosum*.

	Z (year ⁻¹)	M (year ⁻¹)	F_{curr} (year ⁻¹)	E
Male	0.41	0.17	0.24	0.59
Female	0.24	0.14	0.10	0.41

Gear selectivity from age at first capture, L_c , age at 25 % captured, L_{25} , and age at 75 % captured, L_{75} , for trawlers using a length-converted catch curve is presented in Table 5. regarding the model, the age at first capture referred to the age in which the observed fishing gear can catch 50 % of the population. The probability of capture is shown in Figure 6. The t_c for males and females was estimated to be 8.12 years and 3.15 years, respectively.

Table 5. Gear selectivity for whitespotted bambooshark with the size at 25 %, 50 % (size at first capture), and 75 %, *Chiloscyllium plagiosum*, in Sabah, Malaysia.

	L_{50} (cm)	L_{25} (cm)	L_{75} (cm)
Male	68.20	65.07	70.72
Female	47.17	42.92	50.98




Fig. 6. Probability of capture with the size at 25 %, 50 % (size at first capture), and 75 % of whitespotted bambooshark, *Chiloscyllium plagiosum*, in Sabah, Malaysia.

For YPR, the analyses provide the contour line plots at various F and t_c values, which, for YPR, are shown in Figure 7. The results indicate that both male and female sharks have not reached maximum YPR yet. Target and limit reference points are estimated from the YPR and SPR for the current t_c , indicating that the current F was not reached for both target and limit reference points (Table 6).

Table 6. Age at first maturity, age at first capture, current fishing mortality, target, and limit reference points for whitespotted bambooshark, *Chiloscyllium plagiosum*, in Sabah, Malaysia.

	t_m (years)	t_c (years)	F_{curr} (year ⁻¹)	YPR		SPR	
				Target	Limit	Target	Limit
Male	4.5	8.1	0.24	0.40	>2.0	-	-
Female	4.5	3.8	0.10	0.21	0.34	0.21	0.31

SPR: spawning-per-recruit, YPR: yield-per-recruit.




Fig. 7. Yield-per-recruit contour lines for male and female whitespotted bamboosharks, *Chiloscyllium plagiosum*, in Sabah, Malaysia. The oval represents the situation at current t_c and F_{curr} .

At current t_c , the F_{curr} are smaller than $F_{0.1}$ for 60 % of male and 54 % of female sharks. For F_{max} , the F_{curr} is 66 % smaller for female sharks and < 12 % for male sharks because the peak of the YPR curve for males is > 2.0. The YPR curve for males and females is shown in Figure 8. The figure shows no peak found for males as the YPR seems to get higher at this t_c . For females, the peak corresponds to a smaller t_c than for males. However, the current F still be in the acceptable range as lower than BRPs.




Fig. 8. Yield-per-recruit curve at current age at first capture (t_c) the black dot is the SPR at current fishing mortality (F_{curr}) for male and female whitespotted bambooshark, *Chiloscyllium plagiosum*, in Sabah, Malaysia.

For SPR, results focus only on the female stock capable of producing offspring. The SPR contour line of t_c against F is shown in Figure 9, and the contour line for % SPR at constant t_c is presented in Figure 10. The smaller current F than those limit and target BRPs of YPR and SPR indicates that the whitespotted bambooshark stock is healthy in growth and recruitment overfishing.




Fig. 9. Contour plot of per cent spawning-per-recruit for whitespotted bambooshark *Chiloscyllium plagiosum* in Sabah, Malaysia.




Fig. 10. Line plot of per cent spawning-per-recruit (SPR) at current age at first capture (t_c) the black dot is the SPR at current fishing mortality (F_{curr}) for whitespotted bambooshark, *Chiloscyllium plagiosum*, in waters off Sabah, Malaysia.

Figure 10 shows that the fishing ground's current spawning biomass was approximately 50 % during the study period. This result indicates that the stock of whitespotted bambooshark in Sabah water is still in abundance compared to the target reference point.

Discussion

The information on the population structure of the whitespotted bambooshark is still now limited. However, the study of the related species such as brownbanded bambooshark, provided that the population distributed around the Sabah waters is uniquely distinct from the other populations distributed in the Southeast Asian waters (Fahmi et al., 2021a). Thus, the population of whitespotted bambooshark in this study is also assumed to be unit stock for Sabah area.

While the migratory pattern of demersal elasmobranchs remains unclear, only larger individuals migrate short distances from the shoreline to forage (Speed et al., 2016). As reported in Taiwanese waters, the small whitespotted bambooshark prefers shallower water (Chen et al., 2007). Thus, the fisheries data collected in this study covered all possible ranges of adult sharks. The length composition of this shark in this study is deemed to represent the adult population occurring in coastal waters and recruited into the fishing ground from within 30 nm to the high sea according to fishing zone C.

The LFD of a sample reflects growth. In this study, various models provided in the R-package have been selected by R_n score. The score in equation (5) is based on the number of monthly peaks in the LFD to peaks crossed by the growth curve. Growth parameters are more reliable if the score is higher (Gayanilo et al., 2005; Taylor and Mildenerger, 2017). Because the highest ELEFAN_SA male and female scores were 0.36 and 0.37, these two parameters were set as the highest R_n scores.

ELEFAN_SA uses a simulated annealing optimisation algorithm. It examines the range of growth parameters and finds the most reliable results in a shorter simulation time, even if the function is not linear or smooth (Xiang et al., 2013; Taylor and Mildenerger, 2017). Taylor and Mildenerger

(2017) also suggest that an advantage of using ELEFAN_SA is its ability to find the best set of L_{∞} and k .

The previous study about the growth of whitespotted bambooshark was provided by Chen et al. (2007). Their study was conducted in Taiwanese waters where all of the sharks were caught by longline and lobster trap fisheries. The growth parameter determination showed that L_{∞} and k of Taiwanese male and female sharks were 98.5 cm and 0.212 year⁻¹ for males and 93.2 cm and 0.224 year⁻¹ for females, respectively, using age determination method from vertebrae. The larger L_{∞} and k compared to the present study can be influenced by the difference in geographic distribution (Yamaguchi et al., 1998) and the size composition of the catch, which can be affected by the size distribution of the species in natural habitat. The maximum size can be roughly observed from the fit plot of the growth curve (figure 4) as well. As the fish grow older, the growth rate will become slower, and the growth curves become closer around the large individual (Campana et al., 2002), which, in the present study, was the size larger than 70 cm.

Moreover, the age determination in the elasmobranch can be difficult, especially for those species without a dorsal spine, since the growth band from the vertebrae can be hard to find the true centric area (Goldman, 2005). Thus, in the tropical region where age determination is difficult to be determined, the length-based growth parameter determination can be an appropriate alternative method (Sparre and Venema, 1998; Zischke and Griffiths, 2015).

Length-converted catch curves have been widely used in various regions because models can estimate mortality and gear selectivity based on LFD, including elasmobranchs in the tropical region (Pauly, 1983, 1984; Cortés and Parsons, 1996; Özbilgin et al., 2004; Chang and Liu, 2009; Windsland, 2014). For gear selectivity, the L_c can be affected by sex dimorphism between male and female size and the differences in habitat usage, as reported for leopard shark, *Triakis semifasciata* Girard, 1855 (Carlisle and Starr, 2009) sicklefin lemon shark, *Negaprion acutidens* (Rüppell, 1837) (Pillans et al., 2021) and Haller's round stingray, *Urobatis halleri* (Cooper, 1863) (Mull et al., 2010).

The fitting of female selectivity in Figure 6 resulted from the number of sharks in each length class where the smallest length class was far from the next larger length class without any sample shown in between. The length-converted catch curve was used to reconstruct the catch's size

composition by pooling the number of each length class from each observed month (Zischke and Griffiths, 2015). This was done to enable the model to estimate the selectivity based on the major pooled size classes available.

The natural mortality was estimated from maximum age ($t_{\max}$), although information on $t_{\max}$ values for Hemiscyllid elasmobranchs is limited. In the results, LCCV provided the highest $t_{\max}$ for both sexes compared to the other models. This is because LCCV estimates the age based on the length of the individual using equation (3) which the estimated age can be increased as long as the input length does not exceed the L_{∞} , thus, the value can be increased depending on the increasing length and lead to overestimation. Therefore, the results from other models were essential to compare the results together.

Chen et al. (2007) suggest that the longevity of whitespotted bambooshark using the traditional von Bertalanffy growth function is 13.7 years for males and 14.2 years for females. Krajangdara (2017) indicates this species lives for 15 to 22 years, with a maximum reported age of 25 years in captivity (Chen et al., 2007). A median $t_{\max}$ was used of 15.07 years for males and 17.65 years for females. These values were slightly greater than those of Chen et al. (2007) but within the range of those reported by Krajangdara (2017). This age range was similar to that of the relative species occurring in the same area, *C. punctatum*, in which the $t_{\max}$ was up to 14 years (Fahmi et al., 2021b).

YPR indicates that the stock status of whitespotted bambooshark is healthy in terms of growth overfishing. Exploitation obtains a high yield of males, and higher fishing pressure with lower t_c is acceptable (Figure 6). The YPR curve at the current t_c (Figure 7) has no peak within $F < 2.0$ at this selectivity. Age at first capture, t_c , is a controllable parameter in YPR and SPR. For a healthy stock, a reduction in t_c will not negatively affect YPR and SPR because recruitment compensates for a catch from fishing. According to Figure 6, the whitespotted bambooshark stock off Sabah can handle higher F with a lower t_c to reach maximum YPR. YPR and SPR suggest the stock is also healthy for female stock SPR, but with less room to increase F_{curr} at the current t_c .

Despite the useful amount of data input into the model, the per recruit method still has the disadvantage on the equilibrium fishery condition, which assumes that the F_{curr} will be constant throughout the stock. However, the compensation between natural and fishing mortality is also

involved in the realistic condition model (Sparre and Venema, 1998; Chang and Liu, 2009). For the large size with low fecundity, such as the thresher shark (*Alopias* spp.) or other large-pelagic shark species, conservative BRPs for per recruit model such as F_{50} % and F_{60} % were suggested. However, it is known that the small-benthic or coastal shark species such as the family Hemiscyllium have more resilience toward fishing pressure regarding the higher fecundity. Thus, the per recruit model can be applied for these species with less conservative BRPs than the usual recommended level, which is applied for 30-40 % or more of BRPs for elasmobranch species (Tsai et al., 2011; Liu et al., 2015).

Most YPR and SPR, and other related models such as length-based spawning potential ratio, applied to teleost species (Griffith, 1997; Peixer et al., 2007; Zischke and Griffith, 2015; Charernnate et al., 2021) or invertebrate, such as freshwater shrimp, and sea scallop (Etim and Sankare, 1998; Hart, 2003), proves that the model is flexible and can be applied to a wide range of species and at various stages of the life cycle. The per recruit model has also been suggested for elasmobranchs as well with some modifications of the reference points (Zhou et al., 2020). For example, the stock assessment of shortfin mako, *Isurus oxyrinchus* Rafinesque, 1810, uses a combination of YPR and virtual population analysis (Chang and Liu, 2009), YPR for grey sharpnose shark, *Rhizoprionodon oligolinx* Springer, 1964 (Purushottama et al., 2017), and the YPR for Halmahera epaulette shark *Hemiscyllium halmahera* Allen & Erdmann, 2013, in Indonesia (Jutan et al., 2018). Therefore, YPR and SPR for elasmobranch are applicable under some assumptions (Sparre and Venema, 1998).

For the fishery management measures in the area, catch quota system, and coastal states with jurisdiction over waters have recently implemented fisheries zonation, and fisheries data are now continuously collected for particular taxa (Department of Fisheries Malaysia, 2014; Abd Harris Hilmi et al., 2020). Monitoring and surveillance of these activities could assist with the maintenance of healthy regional elasmobranch stocks. However, should the stock decline, control overfishing effort, measured using F , could be implemented by establishing marine protected areas (MPAs) (MacKeracher et al., 2019; Dwyer et al., 2020).

Conclusion

The length-based growth parameter estimation is one of the important alternatives for fish stock assessment in Southeast Asia, as the age data for fish is limited and difficult to achieve. Results of stock assessment using yield-per-recruit (YPR) and spawning-per-recruit (SPR) suggest that stocks of whitespotted bambooshark *Chiloscyllium plagiosum* remain in good condition with F_{curr} lower than any reference points ($F_{0.1}$ and F_{max} for YPR and $F_{30\%}$ and $F_{20\%}$ for SPR). This also suggests that the management of this species in the study area could continue at current levels of fishing pressure. Moreover, this approach can work together with the other approaches as the monitoring model, for example, the amount of spawning stock of a particular species that remained in the area or the current level of fishing pressure. However, the stock status of this shark species can vary by area based on the natural habitat, local fishing pressure, and local management measure. Thus, the stock status determination of whitespotted bambooshark and other elasmobranchs species should be expanded into other parts of the region.

Acknowledgements

Data were provided by “The Regional Project on Sharks Data Collection” from 2015 to 2016. This study was supported by the SEAFDEC/EU-CITES Shark Project in cooperation with the Japanese Trust Fund Project for capacity building in the Southeast Asia region and the Department of Fisheries, Malaysia. We thank Steve O’Shea, PhD, from Edanz (<https://jp.edanz.com/ac>) for editing a draft of this manuscript.

Conflict of interest: The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Author contributions: Supapong Pattarapongpan: Data validation and analysis, writing and improving the draft manuscript. Sukchai Arnupapboon: Supervising on data utilization. Abdul Harris Hilmi bin Ahmad Arshad: Managing the local enumerators. Matsuishi Takashi Fritz; Supervision on the data validation and analysis, and revise the analysis results and manuscript.

References

Arai, T., Azri, A. 2019. Diversity, occurrence and conservation of sharks in the southern South China Sea. PLoS One 14:1–21. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0213864>

- 413 Abd Haris Hilmi, A.A., Hamizahm N.A., Ahmad, A., Kissol, L., Rosdi, M.N., Sukri, M. 2020.
414 Terminal Report Data Collection on Sharks and Rays by Species in Tawau, Sabah (Phase I)
415 October 2018–September 2019. SEAFDEC/MFRDMD. Kuala Terengganu. 34pp.
- 416 Beverton, R.J.H., Holt, S.J. 1957. On the Dynamic of Exploited Fish Populations. Ministry of
417 Agriculture and Food, London. 538 pp.
- 418 Brey, T., Pauly, D. 1986. Electronic length frequency analysis: a revised and expanded user's
419 guide to ELEFAN 0, 1 and 2. Berichte aus dem Institut für Meereskunde an der Christian-
420 Albrechts-Universität Kiel, Kiel. 76 pp. https://doi.org/10.3289/IFM_BER_149
- 421 Caddy, J.F., McGarvey, R. 1996. Targets or limits for management of fisheries? North American
422 Journal of Fisheries Management 16:479–487. [https://doi.org/10.1577/1548-](https://doi.org/10.1577/1548-8675(1996)016<0479:TOLFM0>2.3.CO;2)
423 [8675\(1996\)016<0479:TOLFM0>2.3.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1577/1548-8675(1996)016<0479:TOLFM0>2.3.CO;2)
- 424 Campana, S.E., Natanson, L.J. and Myklevoll, S. 2002. Bomb dating and age determination of
425 large pelagic sharks. Canadian Journal of Fishery and Aquatic Science 59:450-455.
- 426 Carlisle, A.B., Starr, R.M. 2009. Habitat use, residency, and seasonal distribution of female
427 leopard sharks *Triakis semifasciata* in Elkhorn Slough, California. Marine Ecology
428 Progressive Series 380:213–228. <https://doi.org/10.3354/meps07907>
- 429 Chang, J.H., Liu, K.M. 2009. Stock assessment of the shortfin mako shark (*Isurus oxyrinchus*) in
430 the Northwest Pacific Ocean using per recruit and virtual population analyses. Fisheries
431 Research 98:92–101. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fishres.2009.04.005>
- 432 Charernnate, K., Noranarttragoon, P., Jutagate, T. 2021. Length-based stock assessment of
433 Smith's barb, *Puntioplites proctozytron* (Bleeker, 1865) (Cyprinidae) and Asian redbellied
434 catfish, *Hemibagrus nemurus*, (Valenciennes, 1840), (Bagridae) in multipurpose reservoir
435 in Thailand. Asian Fisheries Science 34:159-167
436 <https://doi.org/10.33997/j.afs.2021.34.2.005>
- 437 Chen, W.K., Chen, P.C., Liu, K.M., Wang, S. Bin 2007. Age and growth estimates of the
438 whitespotted bamboo shark, *Chiloscyllium plagiosum*, in the northern waters of Taiwan.
439 Zoological Studies 46:92–102.
- 440 Cortés, E., Parsons, G.R. 1996. Comparative demography of two populations of the bonnethead

441 shark (*Sphyrna tiburo*). Canadian Journal of Fisheries Aquatic Science 53:709–718.
 442 <https://doi.org/10.1139/f95-240>

443 Davidson, L.N.K., Krawchuk, M.A., Dulvy, N.K. 2015. Why have global shark and ray landings
 444 declined: Improved management or overfishing? Fish and Fisheries 17:438–458.
 445 <https://doi.org/10.1111/faf.12119>

446 Department of Fisheries Malaysia 2014. National Plan of Action for the Conservation and
 447 Management of Shark (Plan 2), Ministry of Fisheries and Agriculture. Ministry of
 448 Agriculture and Agro-based Industry Malaysia, Putrajaya. 49 pp.

449 Dwyer, R.G., Krueck, N.C., Udyawer, V., Heupel, M.R., Chapman, D., Pratt, H.L., Garla, R.,
 450 Simpfendorfer, C.A. 2020. Individual and population benefits of marine reserves for reef
 451 sharks. Current Biology 30:480-489.e5. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2019.12.005>

452 Ebert, D.A., Dando, M., Fowler, S. 2021. Sharks of the World: A Complete Guide. Princeton
 453 University Press, New Jersey. 608 pp. <https://doi.org/doi:10.1515/9780691210872>

454 Etim, L., Sankare, Y. 1998. Growth and mortality, recruitment and yield of freshwater shrimp,
 455 *Macrobranchium vollenhovenii*, Herklots 1851 (Crustacea, Palaemonidae) in the Fahe
 456 reservoir, Côte d'Ivoire, West Africa. Fisheries Research 38:211-223.

457 Fahmi, Tibbetts, I.R., Bennett, M.B., Ali, A., Krajangdara, T., Dudgeon, C.L. 2021a. Population
 458 structure of the brown-banded bamboo shark, *Chiloscyllium punctatum* and its relation of
 459 fisheries managemnent in the Indo-Malay region. Fisheries Research 240(1): 105972. doi:
 460 [10.1016/j.fishres.2021.105972](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fishres.2021.105972)

461 Fahmi, Kurniawan, W., Tibbetts, I.R., Oktaviyani, S., Dudgeon, C.L., Bennett, M.B. 2021b. Age
 462 and growth of the tropical oviparous shark , *Chiloscyllium punctatum* in Indonesian waters.
 463 Journal of Fish Biology 99(3):921-930. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jfb.14778>

464 Froese, R., Binohlan, C. 2000. Empirical relationships to estimate asymptotic length, length at
 465 first maturity and length at maximum yield per recruit in fishes, with a simple method to
 466 evaluate length frequency data. Journal of Fish Biology 56:758–773.
 467 <https://doi.org/10.1006/jfbi.1999.1194>

468 Gayanilo Jr., F.C., Sparre, P., Pauly, D. 2005. FAO-ICLARM Stock Assessment Tools II (FiSAT

469 II). Revised Version. Users Guide. FAO Computerized Information Series (Fisheries).
 470 FAO, Rome. 168 pp.

471 Goldman, K.J. 2005. Age and Growth of Elasmobranch Fishes., in: Management Techniques for
 472 Elasmobranch Fisheries. FAO, Rome, pp. 97–132.

473 Goodyear, C.P. 1993. Spawning stock biomass per recruit in fisheries management: foundation
 474 and current use. in: Risk Evaluation and Biological Reference Points for Fisheries
 475 Management, Smith, S.J., Hunt, J.J., Rivard, D. (Eds.), Canadian Special Publication of
 476 Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, Ottawa, pp. 67–81.

477 Grabowski, R., Chen, Y. 2004. Incorporating uncertainty into the estimation of the biological
 478 reference points $F_{0.1}$ and $F_{\max}$ for the Maine green sea urchin (*Strongylocentrotus*
 479 *droebachiensis*) fishery. Fisheries Research 68:367–371.
 480 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fishres.2003.12.005>

481 Griffith, M.H. 1997. The application of per-recruit models to *Argyrosomus inodorus*, an
 482 important South African sciaenid fish. Fisheries Research 30:103-115.

483 Hart, D.R. 2003. Yield- and biomass-per-recruit analysis for rotational fisheries, with an
 484 application to the Atlantic sea scallop (*Placopecten magellanicus*). Fisheries Bulletin
 485 101:44-57.

486 Jutan, Y., Restraubun, A., Khouw, A., Nikijuluw, V., Pattikawa, J. 2018. Study on the population
 487 of Halmahera walking shark (*Hemiscyllium halmahera*) in kao bay, north maluku,
 488 Indonesia. International Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Studies 6(4):36-41.

489 Katsukawa, T. 2005. Evaluation of current and alternative fisheries management scenarios based
 490 on spawning-per-recruit (SPR) and revenue-per-recruit (RPR), and yield-per-recruit (YPR)
 491 diagrams. ICES Journal of Marine Science 62:841-846.

492 Krajangdara, T. 2017. The cartilaginous fishes (sharks, rays and chimeras) found in Thai waters
 493 and the adjacent areas. Marine Fisheries Research and Development Division. Department
 494 of Fisheries, Bangkok, Thailand. 331p.

495 Kyne, P.M., bin Ali, A., Fahmi, Finucci, B., Herman, K., Manjaji Matsumoto, B.M.,
 496 VanderWright, W.J. 2021. *Chiloscyllium plagiosum*, [WWW Document]. IUCN Red List

497 Threat. Species 2021 e.T124554059A124453319. <https://doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2021->
 498 1.RLTS.T124554059A124453319.en (Accessed 06 October 2021).

499 Lam, V.Y.Y., Sadovy De Mitcheson, Y. 2011. The sharks of South East Asia - unknown,
 500 unmonitored and unmanaged. *Fish and Fisheries* 12:51–74. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467->
 501 2979.2010.00383.x

502 Liu, K.M., Chin, C.P., Chen, C.H., Chang, J.H. 2015. Estimating finite rate of population
 503 increase for sharks based on vital parameters. *PLoS One* 10(11):e0143008.
 504 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0143008>

505 Mace, P.M., Sissenwine, M.P. 1993. How much spawning per recruit is enough?. *Canadian*
 506 *Special Publication of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, Ottawa, pp. 101-118.

507 MacKeracher, T., Diedrich, A., Simpfendorfer, C.A. 2019. Sharks, rays and marine protected
 508 areas: A critical evaluation of current perspectives. *Fish and Fisheries* 20:255-267.
 509 <https://doi.org/10.1111/faf.12337>

510 Mackay, D., Moreau, J. 1990. A note in the inverse function of the von Bertalanffy growth
 511 function. *Fishbyte*, WorldFish Center 8(1):28-31

512 Mull, C.G., Lowe, C.G., Young, K.A. 2010. Seasonal reproduction of female round stingrays
 513 (*Urobatis halleri*): Steroid hormone profiles and assessing reproductive state. *General and*
 514 *Comparative Endocrinology* 166:379–387. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.YGCEN.2009.12.009>

515 Özbilgin, H., Tosunoglu, Z., Bilecenoglu, M., Tokaç, A. 2004. Population parameters of *Mullus*
 516 *barbatus* in Izmir Bay (Aegean Sea), using length frequency analysis. *Journal of Applied*
 517 *Ichthyology* 20:231–233. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0426.2004.00555.x>

518 Pauly, D. 1983. Length-converted catch curves: a powerful tool for fisheries research in the
 519 tropics (part 1). *Fishbyte*, WorldFish Center 1:9–13.

520 Pauly, D. 1984. Length-converted catch curves: A powerful tool for fisheries research in the
 521 tropics (Part 2). *Fishbyte*, WorldFish Center 2:17–19.

522 Pauly, D., Munro, J.L. 1984. Once more on the computation of growth in fish and invertebrates.
 523 *Fishbyte*, WorldFish Center 2(1):1-21.

524 Peixer, J., Catella, A., Petrere Jr, M. 2007. Yield per recruit of the pacu *Piaractus*
525 *mesopotamicus* (Holmberg, 1887) in the pantanal of Mato Grosso do Sul, Brazil. Brazilian
526 Journal Biology. 67:561–567.

527 Pillans, R., Rochester, W., Babcock, R.B., Thomson, D.P., Haywood, M.D.E., Vanderkluft, M.A.
528 2021. Long-term acoustic monitoring reveals site fidelity, reproductive migrations, and sex
529 specific differences in habitat use and migratory timing in a large coastal shark (*Negaprion*
530 *acutidens*). Frontiers in Marine Science 8:1-21. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2021.616633>

531 Prager, M.H., O'Brien, J.F., Saila, S.B. 1987. Using life time fecundity to compare management
532 strategies: a case study from striped bass. North American Journal of Fisheries Management
533 7:409-409.

534 Prager, M.H., Porch, C.E., Shertzer, K.W., Caddy, J.F. 2003. Targets and limits for management
535 of fisheries: A simple probability-based approach. North American Journal of Fisheries
536 Management 23:349–361. [https://doi.org/10.1577/1548-](https://doi.org/10.1577/1548-8675(2003)023<0349:TALFMO>2.0.CO;2)
537 [8675\(2003\)023<0349:TALFMO>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1577/1548-8675(2003)023<0349:TALFMO>2.0.CO;2)

538 Preecha, C. 2012. Biology and Population Dynamics of Bocourti's Catfish (*Pangasius bocourti*
539 Sauvage, 1880) in the Mekong River, Nong Khai Area. Kasetsart University. Bangkok. 94
540 pp.

541 Purushottama, G., Dash, G., Thakurdas, Akhilesh, K.V., Kizhakudan, S.J., Zacharia, P.U. 2017.
542 Population dynamics and stock assessment of grey sharpnose shark *Rhizoprionodon*
543 *oligolinx* Springer, 1964 (Chondrichthys: Carcharhinidae) from the north-west coast of
544 India. Indian Journal of Fisheries 64(3):8-17. [https://doi.org/10.21077/ijf.2017.64.3.67657-](https://doi.org/10.21077/ijf.2017.64.3.67657-02)
545 [02](https://doi.org/10.21077/ijf.2017.64.3.67657-02)

546 Ramli, M.F.S.bin 2015. False alarm: Is fishing rezoning necessary in Malaysia? IOSR Journal of
547 Agriculture and Veterinary Science Ver. II 8:2319–2372. [https://doi.org/10.9790/2380-](https://doi.org/10.9790/2380-081125863)
548 [081125863](https://doi.org/10.9790/2380-081125863)

549 Ricker, W. 1940. Relation of "Catch per Unit Effort" to abundance and rate of exploitation.
550 Journal of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada. 5a(1):43-70.

551 Ricker, W. 1975. Computation and interpretation of biological statistics of fish populations.

552 Bulletin of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada. Department of the Environment
 553 Fisheries and Marine Service, Ottawa. 382 pp. <https://doi.org/doi:10.1038/108070b0>

554 Sparre, P., Venema, S.C. 1998. Introduction to tropical fish stock assessment Introduction to
 555 tropical fish stock assessment Part I : Manual. FAO Fisheries Technical Paper, Rome. 407
 556 pp.

557 Speed, C.W., Meekan, M.G., Field, I.C., McMahon, C.R., Harcourt, R.G., Stevens, J.D.,
 558 Babcock, R.C., Pillans, R.D., Bradshaw, C.J.A. 2016. Reef shark movements relative to a
 559 coastal marine protected area. *Regional Studies Marine Science* 3:58–66.
 560 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rsma.2015.05.002>

561 Sun, C.L., Wang, S.P., Yeh, S.Z. 2002. Age and growth of the swordfish (*Xiphias gladius* L.) in
 562 the waters around Taiwan determined from anal-fin rays. *Fishery Bullentin* 100:822–835.

563 Sun, C.L., Wang, S.P., Porch, C.E., Yeh, S.Z. 2005. Sex-specific yield per recruit and spawning
 564 stock biomass per recruit for the swordfish, *Xiphias gladius*, in the waters around Taiwan.
 565 *Fisheries Research* 71:61–69. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fishres.2004.07.010>

566 Taylor, M.H., Mildenerberger, T.K. 2017. Extending electronic length frequency analysis in R.
 567 *Fisheries Management Ecology* 24:330–338. <https://doi.org/10.1111/fme.12232>

568 Tsai, W.P., Sun, C.L., Wang, S.P., Liu, K.M. 2011. Evaluating the impacts of uncertainty on the
 569 estimation of biological reference points for the shortfin mako shark, *Isurus oxyrinchus*, in
 570 the north-western Pacific Ocean. *Marine and Freshwater Research* 62:1383–1394.
 571 <https://doi.org/10.1071/MF11010>

572 Wanchana, W., Ali, A., Sulit, V.T., Chanrachkij, I., Arnupapboon, S., Sayan, S. 2020. Terminal
 573 Report Regional Sharks, Rays and Skates Data Collection. SEAFDEC. Bangkok. 348 pp.

574 Windsland, K. 2014. Total and natural mortality of red king crab (*Paralithodes camtschaticus*) in
 575 Norwegian waters: catch–curve analysis and indirect estimation methods. *ICES Journal*
 576 *Marinr Science* 72:642–650. <https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsu138> Original

577 Xiang, Y., Gubian, S., Suomela, B., Hoeng, J. 2013. Generalized simulated annealing for global
 578 optimization: The GenSA package. *The R Journal* 5:13–28. [https://doi.org/10.32614/rj-](https://doi.org/10.32614/rj-2013-002)
 579 2013-002

580 Yamaguchi, A., Taniuchi, T., Shimizu, M. 1998. Geographic Variation in Growth of the
581 Starspotted Dogfish *Mustelus manazo* from Five Localities in Japan and Taiwan. Fisheries
582 Science 64:732–739. <https://doi.org/10.2331/fishsci.64.732>

583 Zischke, M.T., Griffiths, S.P. 2015. Per-recruit stock assessment of wahoo (*Acanthocybium*
584 *solandri*) in the southwest Pacific Ocean. Fisheries Bullentin 113:407–418.
585 <https://doi.org/10.7755/FB.113.4.4>

586 Zhou, S., Punt, A.E., Lei, Y., Deng, R.A., Hoyle, S.D. 2020. Identifying spawner biomass per-
587 recruit reference points from life-history parameters. Fish and Fisheries 00:1-14.
588 <https://doi.org/10.1111/faf.12459>

589