



HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY


Title	Effect of different light conditions on the growth response of sugar beet to NaCl
Author(s)	Obama, Ryota; Kumagai, Kiichi; Maruyama, Hayato et al.
Citation	Soil science and plant nutrition, 71(2), 113-121 https://doi.org/10.1080/00380768.2024.2422097
Issue Date	2024-11-12
Doc URL	https://hdl.handle.net/2115/96201
Rights	This is an Accepted Manuscript of an article published by Taylor & Francis in Soil science and plant nutrition on 12 Nov 2024, available at: https://doi.org/10.1080/00380768.2024.2422097 .
Type	journal article
File Information	Supplemental material.pdf



Supplemental material for *Effect of different light conditions on the growth response of sugar beet to NaCl*

Ryota Obama, Kiichi Kumagai, Hayato Maruyama, Takuro Shinano, Toshihiro Watanabe

Low light



Control

NaCl

High light



Control

NaCl

Figure S1. Photographs of the sugar beet at day 10 with NaCl treatment under each light treatment.




Figure S2. Shoot and root Na concentration of sugar beet grown with or without NaCl application under different light intensities. Values are the means of triplicates. Range indicates $\pm$ standard error. Different letters indicate a statistically significant difference ($P < 0.05$) at each sampling day using Tukey's multiple comparison test following a one-way ANOVA.




Figure S3. Shoot and root K concentration of sugar beet grown with or without NaCl application under different light intensities. Values are the means of triplicates. Range indicates $\pm$ standard error. Different letters indicate a statistically significant difference ($P < 0.05$) at each sampling day using Tukey's multiple comparison test following a one-way ANOVA.




Figure S4. Shoot and root Ca concentration of sugar beet grown with or without NaCl application under different light intensities. Values are the means of triplicates. Range indicates $\pm$ standard error. Different letters indicate a statistically significant difference ($P < 0.05$) at each sampling day using Tukey's multiple comparison test following a one-way ANOVA.




Figure S5. Shoot and root Mg concentration of sugar beet grown with or without NaCl application under different light intensities. Values are the means of triplicates. Range indicates $\pm$ standard error. Different letters indicate a statistically significant difference ($P < 0.05$) at each sampling day using Tukey's multiple comparison test following a one-way ANOVA.




Figure S6. Shoot N concentration of sugar beet grown with or without NaCl application under different light intensities. Values are the means of triplicates. Range indicates $\pm$ standard error. Different letters indicate a statistically significant difference ($P < 0.05$) at each sampling day using Tukey's multiple comparison test following a one-way ANOVA.

Table S1. Na concentration in the soil after each Na treatment for 10 days

Treatment	Water-soluble Na concentration (mg g ⁻¹ soil)
Control (Low light)	0.04 ±0.00 b
Na (Low light)	2.23 ±0.16 a
Na (High light)	1.86 ±0.04 a

Values are means of three replicates ± standard errors